

# PHASES OF LAGRANGIAN-INVARIANT OBJECTS IN THE DERIVED CATEGORY OF AN ABELIAN VARIETY

ALEXANDER POLISHCHUK

**ABSTRACT.** We continue the study of Lagrangian-invariant objects (LI-objects for short) in the derived category  $D^b(A)$  of coherent sheaves on an abelian variety, initiated in [31]. For every element of the complexified ample cone  $D_A$  we construct a natural phase function on the set of LI-objects, which in the case  $\dim A = 2$  gives the phases with respect to the corresponding Bridgeland stability (see [9]). The construction is based on the relation between endofunctors of  $D^b(A)$  and a certain natural central extension of groups, associated with  $D_A$  viewed as a hermitian symmetric space.

## INTRODUCTION

The notion of stability condition on triangulated categories, introduced by Bridgeland in [8], axiomatizes the notion of stability of branes coming from the study of deformations of superconformal field theories (see [10]). The hope is that the space of stability conditions on a Calabi-Yau threefold are related to the moduli spaces of complex structures on a mirror dual manifold. At present we have examples of Bridgeland stabilities on  $D^b(X)$  for any surface  $X$ , however, the problem of constructing such examples for a Calabi-Yau threefold is still open (see [2] for a proposal of such a construction).

The goal of this paper is to test the existence of a stability condition on  $D^b(A)$  for any abelian variety  $A$  by looking at certain special objects in  $D^b(A)$ . More precisely, for an element  $\omega = i\alpha + \beta \in D_A \subset \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  in the complexified ample cone (defined by the condition that  $\alpha$  is ample) one expects to have a stability condition on  $D^b(A)$  with the central charge

$$Z(F) = \int_A \exp(-\omega) \cdot \mathrm{ch}(F),$$

where  $F \in D^b(A)$ . The starting point of this work is the observation that there are certain objects in  $D^b(A)$  that are automatically semistable with respect to any nice stability condition (see Prop. 3.1.4). Namely, these are *Lagrangian-invariant objects* (*LI-objects* for short) defined in [31] (see also Def. 2.1.1). The simplest examples are the structure sheaves of points  $\mathcal{O}_x$ . To get other examples one can consider images of  $\mathcal{O}_x$  under autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  but in general these do not exhaust all LI-objects (see Remark 4.2.2 and Prop. 4.2.3). Thus, a stability condition should give a *phase* for any LI-object  $F$ , i.e., a lifting of  $\mathrm{Arg} Z(F) \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, a nonzero morphism  $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  can exist only if the phase of  $F_1$  does not exceed the phase of  $F_2$ . The main result of this paper is the construction of such a phase function associated with each  $\omega \in D_A$ . We also verify some properties of this function that one expects from the theory of stability conditions (see Thm. 3.3.2).

---

Supported in part by NSF grant.

The major role in our construction is played by the action of a certain group on the set  $\overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}/\mathbb{N}^*$  of classes of LI-objects modulo certain simple equivalence relation (we allow to apply translations and tensoring with a line bundle in  $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$  and with a vector space). This group, which we denote  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  is a central extension by  $\mathbb{Z}$  of the group of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of an algebraic group  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_{X_A}$  defined as automorphisms of the abelian variety  $X_A = A \times \hat{A}$ , compatible with the skew-symmetric autoduality of  $X_A$ . The preimage of the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -points in  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  is closely related to the group of autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  (see [21, 25, 23]). The main idea that brings the Siegel domain  $D_A$  into picture is that the above central extension has a natural interpretation in terms of the action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $D_A$  (see Theorem 2.3.2). This allows us to parametrize the set  $\overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}/\mathbb{N}^*$  of classes of LI-objects by points of a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering of the set of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of a certain homogeneous algebraic variety  $\mathbf{LG} = \mathbf{LG}_A$  for the group  $\mathbf{U}$  (the points  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  are in bijection with *Lagrangian* abelian subvarieties in  $A \times \hat{A}$ ), and the phase function appears naturally in this context.

If  $\dim A = 2$  then the stability condition corresponding to  $\omega$  was constructed by Bridgeland in [9], and we check that our phases for LI-objects match the ones coming from this stability condition (see Section 3.4).

In the case when  $A = E^n$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, we give a mirror-symmetric interpretation of our picture in terms of Fukaya category of the mirror dual abelian variety (following the recipe of [13]). We show that the central charge on LI-objects in  $D^b(A)$  defined using  $\omega \in D_A$  matches with the integral of the holomorphic volume form over the corresponding Lagrangian tori, and hence, that LI-objects in  $D^b(A)$  give rise to graded Lagrangians on the mirror dual side (see Section 3.5).

We also observe that the set  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  parametrizing classes of LI-objects also parametrizes certain natural collection of  $t$ -structures on  $D^b(A)$ , generalizing the ones obtained from the standard  $t$ -structure by applying autoequivalences (we call them *quasi-standard*). We conjecture that there is also a natural  $t$ -structure associated with every point of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R})$  whose heart is equivalent to the category of holomorphic bundles on the corresponding noncommutative torus (see [32, 28, 4]).

Another by-product of our study is a refinement of the results of [21, 13] on the action of autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  on numerical classes of objects. Namely, we construct a natural double covering  $\mathrm{Spin} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$  of algebraic groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and an algebraic representation of  $\mathrm{Spin}$  on the vector space associated with the numerical Grothendieck group of  $A$ , such that the action of elements projecting to  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  is induced by endofunctors of  $D^b(A)$  (see Thm. 2.5.3).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 contains some auxiliary results not involving derived categories. In particular, we give an interpretation of the index of a nondegenerate line bundle on an abelian variety in terms of the function  $\mathrm{Arg} \chi$  on the complexified ample cone (see Theorem 1.2.1). We also prove some useful results about the group  $\mathbf{U}$  and the variety of Lagrangian subvarieties  $\mathbf{LG}$  in  $A \times \hat{A}$ . In Section 2 we study the central extension  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  coming from a natural 1-cocycle with values

in  $\mathcal{O}^*(D_A)$  and its action on LI-objects in  $D^b(A)$  and their numerical classes. In Section 3 we parametrize LI-objects (up to certain equivalence) by points of a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  equipped with an action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ , and construct a family of phase functions on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  parametrized by  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ , equivariantly with respect to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ . We also study the connection with Bridgeland stability conditions on abelian surfaces (see Thm. 3.4.3) and with mirror symmetry (see Sec. 3.5). In Section 4 we construct a family of  $t$ -structures on  $D^b(A)$  parametrized by  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  and study a relation between  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})/\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  and the Fourier-Mukai partners of  $A$  (see Sec. 4.2).

*Notations and conventions.* We work over a fixed algebraically closed field  $k$ . We say that an object  $F$  of a  $k$ -linear category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *endosimple* if  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, F) = k$ . For a scheme  $X$  we denote by  $D^b(X)$  the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on  $X$ . We say that an object  $F \in D^b(X)$  is *cohomologically pure* if there exists a coherent sheaf  $H$  such that  $F \simeq H[n]$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$  the category of abelian varieties up to an isogeny (i.e., the localization of the category of abelian varieties over  $k$  with respect to the class of isogenies). When we want to consider the  $F$ -vector space associated with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $M$ , where  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ , or  $\mathbb{C}$ , as an algebraic variety over  $F$ , we denote it by  $M_F$ .

*Acknowledgment.* I am grateful to Kontsevich for a discussion of the picture in Section 3.5 involving mirror symmetry.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper  $A$  denotes an abelian variety over  $k$ .

**1.1. Degree, trace and Euler bilinear form.** Recall that for  $f \in \mathrm{End}(A)$  one has

$$\deg(f) = \det T_l(f)$$

where  $T_l(f)$  is the representation of  $f$  on the Tate module  $T_l(A)$  for  $l \neq \mathrm{char}(k)$  (see [22, Ch. 19, Thm. 4]). Thus, extending  $\deg$  to a polynomial function

$$\deg : \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q},$$

homogeneous of degree  $2g$ , we have

$$\deg(1 + tf) = 1 + t \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(f) + O(t^2),$$

where  $\mathrm{Tr}(f)$  is given by the trace of the action of  $f$  on  $T_l(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$ . Furthermore,  $f \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}(f \cdot f')$  is a positive definite quadratic form on  $\mathrm{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $f \mapsto f'$  is the Rosati involution associated with a polarization of  $A$  (see [22, Ch. 21, Thm. 1]).

Let us fix a polarization on  $A$  and denote by  $\mathrm{End}(A)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  the subspace of elements invariant with respect to the corresponding Rosati involution. Note that the quadratic form  $\mathrm{Tr}(f^2)$  on  $\mathrm{End}(A)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is positive-definite.

**Proposition 1.1.1.** *An element  $f \in \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  is determined by the polynomial function*

$$\mathrm{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : x \mapsto \deg(f - x).$$

*Furthermore, if  $f$  is invariant with respect to the Rosati involution then it is determined by the restriction of the above function to  $\mathrm{End}(A)^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* We have to check that if  $\deg(f_1 - x) = \deg(f_2 - x)$  for all  $x \in \text{End}(A)$  then  $f_1 = f_2$ . Adding to  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  the same element of  $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  we can assume that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are invertible in  $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Observe also that  $\deg(f_1) = \deg(f_2)$  (this follows by substituting  $x = 0$ ). Thus, we obtain

$$\deg(1 - xf_1^{-1}) = \deg(f_1 - x) \deg(f_1)^{-1} = \deg(f_2 - x) \deg(f_2)^{-1} = \deg(1 - xf_2^{-1}).$$

Considering the linear terms in  $x$  we derive

$$\text{Tr}(xf_1^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(xf_2^{-1}).$$

The nondegeneracy of the form  $\text{Tr}(fg)$  implies  $f_1^{-1} = f_2^{-1}$ .

To prove the second statement, we repeat the above argument letting  $x$  vary only in  $\text{End}(A)^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

We always use the standard identification

$$\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q} : L \mapsto \phi_L,$$

where  $\text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q} \subset \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  consists of self-dual homomorphisms. The Euler characteristic defines a polynomial function  $\chi : \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of degree  $g = \dim A$ , which we also view as a function on  $\text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . One has  $\chi^2 = \deg$  (see [22, ch. 16]).

Recall that the Grothendieck group  $K_0(A)$  carries the Euler bilinear form

$$\chi([E], [F]) := \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \text{Hom}^i(E, F),$$

where  $E, F \in D^b(A)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  the numerical Grothendieck group, i.e., the quotient of  $K_0(A)$  by the kernel of this form.  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  is a free abelian group of finite rank (see [14, Ex. 19.1.4]). Associating with a line bundle  $L$  its class  $[L]$  in  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  defines a polynomial map between free abelian groups of finite rank

$$\ell : \text{NS}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A).$$

Therefore, we have the induced polynomial morphism between the corresponding  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$\ell : \text{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}. \tag{1.1.1}$$

**Corollary 1.1.2.** *An element  $\phi \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  is determined by the corresponding polynomial function*

$$\text{NS}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : x \mapsto \chi(\ell(\phi), \ell(x)).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\text{NS}(A)$  is Zariski dense in  $\text{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{C}}$ , it is enough to prove the similar statement with the polynomial function  $\chi(\ell(\phi), \ell(\cdot))$  on  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Note that

$$\chi(\ell(\phi), \ell(x))^2 = \chi(\ell(x - \phi))^2 = \deg(x - \phi)$$

where we view  $x$  and  $\phi$  as elements of  $\text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\phi_0 : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  be a polarization. Then the map  $x \mapsto \phi_0^{-1} \circ x$  gives an isomorphism  $\text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \text{End}(A)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (and the corresponding isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces). Furthermore, this isomorphism rescales  $\deg$  by the constant  $\deg(\phi_0)$ . It remains to apply Proposition 1.1.1.  $\square$

**Remark 1.1.3.** When the ground field is  $\mathbb{C}$  we can identify  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  with the subspace of algebraic cycles in  $H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$  via the Chern character and  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  with algebraic cycles in  $H^2(A, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $\ell$  is induced by the exponential map  $H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**1.2. Characterization of the index of a line bundle.** Recall that if  $L$  is a nondegenerate line bundle on  $A$  (i.e., the corresponding map  $\phi_L : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is an isogeny) then its *index*  $i(L)$  is defined by the condition  $H^i(A, L) = 0$  for  $i \neq i(L)$ . We will use the following recipe for computing  $i(L)$ : it is the number of positive roots of the polynomial  $P(n) = \chi(L \otimes L_0^n)$ , where  $L_0$  is an ample line bundle on  $A$  (see [22, ch. 16]). The index function  $i(\cdot)$  extends uniquely to a  $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ -invariant function on  $\text{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Let  $D_A \subset \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  be the complexified ample cone. Note that the function  $\deg$  and hence  $\chi$  does not vanish on  $D_A$  (see [13, Lem. A.3]). Since  $D_A$  is simply connected, there is a unique continuous branch of the argument  $\text{Arg}(\chi(x))$  on  $D_A$ , such that for  $x = iH$ , where  $H$  is an ample class (an element of the ample cone) we have  $\text{Arg}(\chi(iH)) = g\pi/2$ , where  $g = \dim A$ . It is easy to see that this branch does not depend on a choice of  $H$ . Then for class  $x \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  with  $\chi(x) \neq 0$  we can define by continuity the argument  $\text{Arg}(\chi(x))$ , i.e., we set

$$\text{Arg}(\chi(x)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \text{Arg}(\chi(x + itH)),$$

where  $H$  is an ample class. Note that since  $\chi(x)$  is real, the number  $\text{Arg}(\chi(x))/\pi$  is an integer.

**Theorem 1.2.1.** *For the continuous branch of  $\text{Arg}(\chi(\cdot))$  on  $D_A$ , satisfying  $\text{Arg}(\chi(iH)) = g\pi/2$  (where  $H$  is ample), one has*

$$\text{Arg}(\chi(x)) = i(x)\pi$$

for every  $x \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\chi(x) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* First, let us consider the case when  $x$  is in the ample cone. For  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  we have  $\chi(zx) = z^g \cdot \chi(x)$ . Thus, varying  $z$  on a unit circle from 1 to  $i$  we obtain

$$\text{Arg}(\chi(ix)) = \text{Arg}(\chi(x)) + \frac{g\pi}{2}.$$

Since  $\text{Arg}(\chi(ix)) = g\pi/2$ , we obtain that  $\text{Arg}(\chi(x)) = 0$ . Next, assume  $x \in \text{NS}(A) \subset \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . Then for any ample class  $H$  the polynomial

$$P(t) = \chi(x + tH)$$

has  $i(x)$  positive roots, counted with multiplicity (see [22, ch. 16]). Let  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_r$  be all the positive roots of  $P(t)$ . For  $t \gg 0$  the class  $x + tH$  is ample and so  $\text{Arg} \chi(x + tH) = 0$ . Now we are going to decrease  $t$  until it reaches zero and observe the change of  $\text{Arg}(P(t)) = \text{Arg}(\chi(x + tH))$ . Note that it can only change when  $t$  passes one of the roots  $t_j$ . If  $t_j$  is a root of  $P(t)$  of multiplicity  $m_j$ , then for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$  one has

$$\text{Arg}(P(t_j - \epsilon)) = \text{Arg}(P(t_j + \epsilon)) + m_j\pi.$$

Adding up the changes we get

$$\text{Arg}(\chi(x)) = \text{Arg}(P(0)) = i(x)\pi.$$

Since  $i(x)$  does not change upon rescaling by a positive rational number, the assertion for any  $x \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.2.2.** *For the branch of  $\text{Arg}(\deg(\cdot))$  on  $D_A$  normalized by  $\text{Arg}(\deg(iH)) = g\pi$  one has*

$$\text{Arg}(\deg(x)) = i(x) \cdot 2\pi$$

for any  $x \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\deg(x) \neq 0$ .

We will also need some information on the restriction of  $\text{Arg}(\chi(\cdot))$  to lines of the form  $iH + \mathbb{R}x \subset D_A$ .

**Lemma 1.2.3.** (i) *For any ample class  $H \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and any  $x \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  let us choose any continuous branch of  $t \mapsto \text{Arg}(\chi(iH + tx))$ , where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$  one has*

$$\text{Arg}(\chi(iH + t_1x)) - (g - i(x))\frac{\pi}{2} < \text{Arg}(\chi(iH + t_2x)) < \text{Arg}(\chi(iH + t_1x)) + i(x)\frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (1.2.1)$$

(ii) *For any continuous branch of  $\text{Arg}(\deg(\cdot))$  on  $D_A$  one has*

$$\text{Arg}(\deg(\omega)) \leq \text{Arg}(\deg(iH)) + g\pi$$

for any  $\omega \in D_A$ , where  $H$  is an ample class.

*Proof.* (i) Indeed, the polynomial

$$P(t) = \chi(iH + tx) = i^g \chi(H + \frac{t}{i}x)$$

has all roots purely imaginary, and exactly  $i(x)$  of them in the upper half-plane, counted with multiplicity (see [22, ch. 16]). Let us write  $P(t) = c \cdot (t - z_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (t - z_g)$ . Since  $P(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can choose for every  $j = 1 \dots, g$  a continuous branch of  $t \mapsto \text{Arg}(t - z_j)$  along the real line and use the branch

$$\text{Arg } P(t) = \text{Arg}(c) + \text{Arg}(t - z_1) + \dots + \text{Arg}(t - z_g).$$

Suppose the roots  $z_1, \dots, z_{i(x)}$  are in the upper half-plane while  $z_j$  for  $j > i(x)$  are in the lower half-plane. Then for each  $j > i(x)$  the function  $t \mapsto \text{Arg}(t - z_j)$  is strictly decreasing and we have

$$\text{Arg}(t_1 - z_j) - \frac{\pi}{2} < \text{Arg}(t_2 - z_j) < \text{Arg}(t_1 - z_j).$$

On the other hand, for  $j \leq i(x)$  we have

$$\text{Arg}(t_1 - z_j) < \text{Arg}(t_2 - z_j) < \text{Arg}(t_1 - z_j) + \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Summing up over all the roots gives (1.2.1).

(ii) Applying (1.2.1) to  $t_1 = 0$  and  $t_2 = 1$  we get

$$\text{Arg}(\chi(iH + x)) \leq \text{Arg}(\chi(iH)) + i(x)\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \text{Arg}(\chi(iH)) + g\frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Since  $\deg = \chi^2$  on NS, we get the required inequality for points in  $D_A$  with rational real and imaginary part. The general case follows by continuity.  $\square$

**1.3. The group  $\mathbf{U}_{A \times \hat{A}}$ .** Recall (see [21], [24], [23], [13]) that with every abelian variety  $A$  one can associate an algebraic group  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_{X_A}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $X_A := A \times \hat{A}$ , as follows. For every  $F \subset \mathbb{Q}$  we define the group of  $F$ -points  $\mathbf{U}(F)$  as a subgroup of invertible elements of the algebra  $\text{End}(X_A) \otimes F$  consisting of

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End}(X_A) \otimes F \text{ with } a \in \text{Hom}(A, A) \otimes F, b \in \text{Hom}(\hat{A}, A) \otimes F, \text{ etc.,}$$

such that

$$g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{d} & -\hat{b} \\ -\hat{c} & \hat{a} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End}(A \times \hat{A}) \otimes F.$$

The arithmetic subgroup

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) := \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \text{End}(A \times \hat{A})$$

is closely related to the group of autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  (see [23]). When we view the matrix element  $b$  above as a function on  $\mathbf{U}(F)$  we denote it by  $b(g)$ .

Our point of view is to consider  $X_A$  as a “symplectic object” in the category of abelian varieties using the skew-symmetric self-duality  $\eta_A : X_A \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}_A$  associated with the biextension  $p_{14}^* \mathcal{P} \otimes p_{23}^* \mathcal{P}^{-1}$  of  $X_A \times X_A$  (see [25], [31]). Then elements of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  are precisely *symplectic automorphisms* of  $X_A$ , i.e., automorphisms compatible with  $\eta_A$ . The development of this point of view in [31] was to view elements of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  as *Lagrangian correspondences* from  $X_A$  to itself, which allowed us to define endofunctors of  $D^b(A)$  associated with elements of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  (see [31, Sec. 3] and Sec. 2.1 below).

Note that we have the algebraic subgroup  $\mathbf{T} \simeq (\text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}})^* \subset \mathbf{U}$  consisting of diagonal matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{a} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following facts about the group  $\mathbf{U}$  follow easily from Albert’s classification of the endomorphism algebras of simple abelian varieties (see [24], [13]).

**Lemma 1.3.1.** (i) *Let us fix a polarization  $\phi : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  and let  $\mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{T}$  be the algebraic subgroup corresponding to  $a \in (\text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}})^*$  such that  $a$  lies in the center of  $\text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $a^{-1} = \phi^{-1} \hat{a} \phi$ . Then the group  $\mathbf{U}$  is an almost direct product of the semisimple commutant subgroup  $S\mathbf{U}$  and of  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

(ii) *The algebraic group  $\mathbf{U}$  is connected, and the Lie group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  is connected (with respect to the classical topology).*

We denote by  $\mathbf{U}^0 \subset \mathbf{U}$  the Zariski open subset given by the inequality  $\deg(b(g)) \neq 0$ . Note that for any  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R})$  we have  $\deg(b(g)) > 0$  (since the function  $\deg$  is nonnegative on  $\text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ ).

The following condition on a subset of a group was introduced in [34, IV.42] (the term is due to D. Kazhdan).

**Definition 1.3.2.** Let  $G$  be a group. A subset  $B \subset G$  is called *big* if for any  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$  one has

$$B^{-1} \cap Bg_1 \cap Bg_2 \cap Bg_3 \neq \emptyset.$$

This notion is useful because of the following result (part (i) is due to Weil and part (ii) is a more precise version of [26, Lem. 4.2]).

**Lemma 1.3.3.** (i) Let  $B \subset G$  be a big subset. Then  $G$  is isomorphic to the abstract group generated by elements  $[b]$  for  $b \in B$  subject to the relations  $[b_1][b_2] = [b_1b_2]$  whenever  $b_1b_2 \in B$ .

(ii) Let  $Z$  be an abelian group (with the trivial  $G$ -action). Let  $c, c' : G \times G \rightarrow Z$  be a pair of 2-cocycles such that

$$c(b_1, b_2) = c'(b_1, b_2)$$

for any  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  with  $b_1b_2 \in B$ . Let  $p : G_c \rightarrow G$  (resp.  $p' : G_{c'} \rightarrow G$ ) be the extension of  $G$  by  $Z$  associated with  $c$  (resp.,  $c'$ ), and let  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G_c$  (resp.,  $\sigma' : G \rightarrow G_{c'}$ ) be the natural set-theoretic sections. Then there is a unique isomorphism of extensions  $i : G_c \rightarrow G_{c'}$  such that  $i(\sigma(b)) = \sigma'(b)$  (and identical on  $Z$ ).

*Proof.* (i) This is [34, IV.42, Lem. 6].

(ii) Note that the subset  $p^{-1}(B) \subset G_c$  (resp.,  $(p')^{-1}(B) \subset G_{c'}$ ) is big. Thus, we can define a homomorphism  $G_c \rightarrow G_{c'}$  by requiring that it sends  $z\sigma(b)$  to  $z\sigma'(b)$  for  $b \in B$ , provided we check the compatibility with the relations

$$\sigma(b_1)\sigma(b_2) = c(b_1, b_2)\sigma(b_1b_2),$$

$$\sigma'(b_1)\sigma'(b_2) = c'(b_1, b_2)\sigma'(b_1b_2),$$

whenever  $b_1, b_2, b_1b_2 \in B$ . But this boils down to the equality  $c(b_1, b_2) = c'(b_1, b_2)$ .  $\square$

Next, we will show that the subset  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  (resp.,  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ ) is big. Note that the subset  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  in the arithmetic group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  is also big (see Remark 1.4.2).

**Lemma 1.3.4.** For any field extension  $\mathbb{Q} \subset F$  the set  $\mathbf{U}(F)$  is Zariski-dense in  $\mathbf{U}$ . Hence, the subset  $\mathbf{U}^0(F) \subset \mathbf{U}(F)$  is big in  $\mathbf{U}(F)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{U}$  is connected, density of  $\mathbf{U}(F)$  follows from [6, Cor. 18.3]. Thus, for any  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \mathbf{U}(F)$  the intersection  $\mathbf{U}^0 \cap \mathbf{U}^0 g_1 \cap \mathbf{U}^0 g_2 \cap \mathbf{U}^0 g_3$  contains a point of  $\mathbf{U}(F)$ .  $\square$

The group  $\mathbf{U}$  has two natural parabolic subgroups:  $\mathbf{P}^+$  is the intersection of  $\mathbf{U}$  with the subgroup of upper-triangular  $2 \times 2$ -matrices in  $\text{End}(A \times \hat{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and  $\mathbf{P}^-$  is the intersection with the subgroup of lower-triangular matrices. We also denote by  $\mathbf{N}^+ \subset \mathbf{P}^+$  (resp.  $\mathbf{N}^- \subset \mathbf{P}^-$ ) the subgroup of strictly upper-triangular (resp. strictly lower-triangular) matrices. Note that both  $\mathbf{N}^+$  and  $\mathbf{N}^-$  are isomorphic to  $\text{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

**Lemma 1.3.5.** Any normal subgroup of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  containing  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  is the entire  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  is conjugate to  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  by an element

$$w_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi^{-1} \\ -\phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.3.1}$$

where  $\phi : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is a polarization, it is enough to check that  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  is generated by the subgroups  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$ . We can write any  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  with invertible  $a$  as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ca^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{a}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$



Finally, any element of  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  has form  $gw_\phi$  with  $g$  as above. Thus, the statement follows from Lemma 1.3.4.  $\square$

**1.4. Action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  on Lagrangian subvarieties.** Recall that an abelian subvariety  $L \subset X_A = A \times \hat{A}$  is *isotropic* if the composition

$$L \rightarrow X_A \xrightarrow{\eta_A} \hat{X}_A \rightarrow \hat{L}$$

is zero, where  $\eta_A$  is the standard skew-symmetric self-duality. If in addition  $\dim L = \dim A$  then  $L$  is called *Lagrangian* (for other equivalent definitions see [31, Sec. 2.2]). In this case  $\eta_A$  induces an isomorphism  $X_A/L \simeq \hat{L}$ .

To enumerate all Lagrangian abelian subvarieties in  $X_A$  it is convenient to work in the semisimple category  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$  of abelian varieties up to isogeny. Note that abelian subvarieties of  $X_A$  are in natural bijection with subobjects of  $X_A$  in the category  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Thus, we can use a similar notion of a Lagrangian subvariety in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Now if  $L \subset X_A$  is Lagrangian then we have an isomorphism  $X_A \simeq L \oplus \hat{L}$  in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , which implies that  $L$  is isomorphic to  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Thus, we can describe a Lagrangian subvariety (in the category  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) as an image of a morphism  $A \rightarrow X_A$ , i.e., by a pair  $(x, y)$ , where  $x \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $y \in \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . The isotropy condition is the equation

$$\hat{y}x = \hat{x}y.$$

The existence of a splitting  $X_A \rightarrow A$  in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is equivalent to the condition

$$(\star) \quad (\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})x + (\text{Hom}(\hat{A}, A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})y = \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

The pairs  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  define the same subvariety if and only if there exists an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that  $x_2 = x_1\alpha$ ,  $y_2 = y_1\alpha$ . Thus, we obtain an identification of the set of Lagrangian subvarieties in  $X_A$  with the set

$$\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}) := \{(x, y) \mid \hat{y}x = \hat{x}y, (\star)\} / (x, y) \sim (x\alpha, y\alpha), \quad (1.4.1)$$

where  $x \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $y \in \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\alpha \in (\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^*$ . We denote by  $(x : y) \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  the equivalence class of  $(x, y) \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

Fixing a polarization on  $A$  we can identify  $A$  with  $\hat{A}$ , so that the dualization gets replaced by the Rosati involution  $x \mapsto x'$  on  $\mathcal{A} := \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . We claim that the set  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  can be identified with the set of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of a certain homogeneous projective variety  $\mathbf{LG}$  for the group  $\mathbf{U}$  (a subvariety in the Grassmannian of right rank-1  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodules in  $\mathcal{A}^2$ ). Here the action of  $\mathbf{U}$  on  $\mathbf{LG}$  is induced by the natural action of  $\text{End}(X_A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on pairs  $(x, y)$  (viewed as column vectors). Consider the point  $(0 : \phi_0) \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$ , where  $\phi_0 : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is a polarization, (the corresponding Lagrangian is  $0 \times \hat{A} \subset X_A$ ). Note that the stabilizer subgroup of is the subgroup  $\mathbf{P}^- \subset \mathbf{U}$  of lower triangular matrices. Thus, we define

$$\mathbf{LG} = \mathbf{LG}_A = \mathbf{U}/\mathbf{P}^-.$$

The fact that the set (1.4.1) is indeed the set of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of  $\mathbf{LG}$  follows from the transitivity of the action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  on the set of Lagrangian subvarieties that we will prove below (see Prop. 1.4.3).

We start with the following useful result.

**Proposition 1.4.1.** *For any collection of Lagrangian subvarieties  $L_1, \dots, L_r \subset X_A$  there exists an element  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  such that all the Lagrangians  $gL_1, \dots, gL_r$  are transversal to  $\{0\} \times \hat{A}$ .*

*Proof.* We use an argument similar to the first part of the proof of [31, Thm. 3.2.11]. Consider elements in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  of the form  $g_{nb}^+$  for some polarization  $b : \hat{A} \rightarrow A$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the condition that  $g_{nb}^+ L_i$  is transversal to  $\{0\} \times \hat{A}$  is equivalent to  $L_i$  being transversal to  $g_{-nb}^+(\{0\} \times \hat{A}) = \Gamma(-nb)$ . By [31, Lem. 2.2.7(ii)], the latter transversality holds for all  $n$  except for a finite number.  $\square$

**Remark 1.4.2.** The above Proposition immediately implies that subset  $\mathbf{U}^0 \cap \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  of the group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  is big (see Sec. 1.3). Indeed, for any given  $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  consider the Lagrangian subvarieties  $L_i = g_i(\{0\} \times \hat{A}) \subset X_A$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Then we can find  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $gL_i = gg_i(\{0\} \times \hat{A})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  are transversal to  $\{0\} \times \hat{A}$ . Thus, we get  $gg_i \in \mathbf{U}^0$  as required. The same proof works for any finite index subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ . The fact that  $\mathbf{U}^0 \cap \Gamma$  is a big subset of  $\Gamma$  was stated in [26, Lem. 4.3]. However, the proof in *loc. cit.* was not correct: it relied on the absence of compact factors in  $S\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ , which is not always the case (see [13, Cor. 5.3.3]).

Lagrangian subvarieties in  $X_A$ , transversal to  $0 \times \hat{A}$ , are all graphs  $\Gamma(f)$  of symmetric homomorphisms  $f \in \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (see [31, Ex. 2.2.4]). This corresponds to points of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  of the form  $(1 : f)$ , which are precisely  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of a Zariski open subset

$$\text{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{N}^- w_{\phi} \mathbf{P}^- / \mathbf{P}^- \subset \mathbf{LG}, \quad (1.4.2)$$

where  $w_{\phi}$  is given by (1.3.1), and  $\mathbf{N}^- \subset \mathbf{U}$  is the subgroup of strictly lower triangular matrices. In other words, the subset (1.4.2) is just the  $\mathbf{N}^-$ -orbit of the point  $(1 : 0) \in \mathbf{LG}$ .

**Proposition 1.4.3.** (i) *The action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  on the set of Lagrangian subvarieties in  $X_A$  is transitive.*

(ii) *The action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  is transitive.*

*Proof.* (i) The subgroup  $\mathbf{N}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  acts on the subset  $\text{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbf{LG}$  by translations, so the corresponding action on the set of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points is transitive. By Proposition 1.4.1, any point of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  is obtained from a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -point of this subset by an action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ , so the required transitivity follows.

(ii) As is well known, it suffices to check triviality of the kernel of the map of Galois cohomology  $H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{P}^-) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{U})$ . Since  $\mathbf{P}^-$  is a semi-direct product of  $\prod_i \text{GL}_{n_i}(D_i)$  (where  $D_i$  are skew-fields) and of  $\mathbb{G}_a^n$ , in fact, the set  $H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{P}^-)$  is trivial.  $\square$

The description (1.4.1) of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of  $\mathbf{LG}$  can be extended to a similar description of  $\mathbf{LG}(F)$ , where  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , so we can still use homogeneous coordinates  $(x : y)$ , where  $x \in \text{End}(A) \otimes F$ ,  $y \in \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes F$  to describe points of  $\mathbf{LG}(F)$ .

The complexified ample cone  $D_A \subset \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  is a hermitian symmetric space (a tube domain) with the group of isometries  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  (see [21, Sec. 5], [13, Sec. 8]). Namely, the group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $D_A$  by

$$g(\omega) = (c + d\omega)(a + b\omega)^{-1}. \quad (1.4.3)$$

This action is well defined since  $\deg(a + b\omega) \neq 0$  for  $\omega \in D_A$  (see [13, Lem. A3]). Furthermore, it is transitive and the stabilizer of a point  $\omega \in D_A$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  (see [13, Thm. A1]). Also, the natural embedding

$$D_A \hookrightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{C}) : \omega \mapsto (1 : \omega)$$

is  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant.

## 2. LI-FUNCTORS AND CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

**2.1. LI-objects and functors.** Recall that every object  $K \in D^b(A \times A)$  gives rise to a functor of Fourier-Mukai type

$$\Phi_K : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(A) : F \mapsto Rp_{2*}(p_1^*F \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} K),$$

where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are projections of  $A \times A$  to its factors (we refer to  $K$  as the *kernel* of the functor  $\Phi_K$ ). The composition  $\Phi_{K_1} \circ \Phi_{K_2}$  corresponds to the convolution of kernels  $K_2 \circ_A K_1$  (see [19], our notation is as in [30]).

Recall that in [31] we have extended the relation between autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  and the group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  (see [25], [23]) to a construction of endofunctors of  $D^b(A)$  (given by kernels on  $A \times A$ ) associated with elements of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ , suitably enhanced. Namely, with every element  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  we associate its graph  $L(g) \subset X_A \times X_A$ , which we view as a Lagrangian subvariety in  $X_A \times X_A$  with respect to the symplectic self-duality  $(-\eta_A) \times \eta_A$  (see [31, Sec. 3.1]). The corresponding kernel on  $A \times A$  is constructed as a generator of the subcategory of  $L(g)$ -invariants with respect to the action of  $X_A \times X_A$  on  $D^b(A \times A)$ .

More precisely, every Lagrangian subvariety  $L \subset X_A$  can be equipped with a line bundle  $\alpha$  such that we have an isomorphism of line bundles on  $L \times L$

$$\alpha_{l_1+l_2} \otimes \alpha_{l_1}^{-1} \otimes \alpha_{l_2}^{-1} \simeq \mathcal{P}_{p_A(l_1), p_{\hat{A}}(l_2)}, \quad (2.1.1)$$

where  $p_A : L \rightarrow A$  and  $p_{\hat{A}} : L \rightarrow \hat{A}$  are the projections, and  $\mathcal{P}$  is the Poincaré bundle on  $A \times \hat{A}$ . We refer to  $(L, \alpha)$  as *Lagrangian pair*. For every such pair  $(L, \alpha)$  there exists a unique up to an isomorphism endosimple coherent sheaf  $S_{L, \alpha}$  on  $A$  together with an isomorphism

$$(S_{L, \alpha})_{x+p_A(l)} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{x, p_{\hat{A}}(l)} \otimes \alpha_l \simeq (S_{L, \alpha})_x \quad (2.1.2)$$

on  $L \times A$  (where  $l \in L$ ,  $x \in A$ ), satisfying certain natural compatibility condition. We view this condition as invariance with respect to the lifting of  $L$  to the *Heisenberg groupoid*  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_A$ , acting on  $D^b(A)$  (and on  $D^b(A \times S)$  for any scheme  $S$ ). By definition,  $\mathbf{H}$  is a Picard groupoid extension of  $X_A$  by the stack of line bundles, so its objects over a scheme  $S$  are pairs: a point  $(x, \xi) \in X_A(S)$  and a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $S$ . The group operation is determined by

$$(x_1, \xi_1) \cdot (x_2, \xi_2) = \mathcal{P}_{x_1, \xi_2} \cdot (x_1 + x_2, \xi_1 + \xi_2).$$

The action of  $(x, \xi) \in X_A(S) \subset \mathbf{H}(S)$  on  $D^b(A \times S)$  is given by the functors

$$F \mapsto T_{(x, \xi)}(F) = \mathcal{P}_{\xi} \otimes t_x^* F, \quad (2.1.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{\xi}$  is the line bundle on  $A \times S$  corresponding to  $\xi \in \hat{A}(S)$ . A choice of a line bundle  $\alpha$  satisfying (2.1.1) gives a lifting of  $L$  to a subgroup of  $\mathbf{H}$ , and the left-hand side of (2.1.2) is the result of the action of  $l \in L$  on  $S_{L, \alpha}$ .

**Definition 2.1.1.** *LI-objects* are cohomologically pure nonzero objects in  $D^b(A)$  that can be equipped with  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariance isomorphism (2.1.2) for some  $(L, \alpha)$  as above. In fact, they are all of the form  $S_{L, \alpha}^{\oplus n}[m]$  for some  $(L, \alpha)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  (see [31, Thm. 2.4.5]). Let  $\mathrm{SH}^{LI}(A)$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of LI-objects on  $A$ . In this work we work mostly with the set  $\overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A)$  of LI-objects viewed up to the action of  $\mathbf{H}(k)$ , i.e., up to translations and tensoring with line bundles in  $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ . We will refer to this equivalence relation as **H**-equivalence.

We will use the notation  $N \cdot F := F^{\oplus N}$  for an LI-object  $F$ . This defines an action of the multiplicative monoid  $\mathbb{N}^*$  on  $\overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.2.** *There is a well-defined map*

$$\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A) : L \mapsto S(L)$$

*sending a Lagrangian subvariety  $L \subset X_A$  to the class of the LI-sheaf  $S_{L, \alpha}$ , where  $(L, \alpha)$  is a Lagrangian pair extending  $L$ . The map*

$$\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A) : (L, N, n) \mapsto N \cdot S(L)[n]$$

*is a bijection of  $\mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{Z}$ -sets.*

*Proof.* The fact that  $S(L)$  depends only on  $L$  follows from [31, Lem. 2.4.2]. The second statement follows from [31, Thm. 2.4.5] about the structure of the category of  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariants in  $D^b(A)$  and [31, Cor. 2.4.11] stating that  $L$  can be recovered from  $S_{L, \alpha}$ .  $\square$

Recall that for an element  $\phi \in \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  the graph  $\Gamma(\phi)$  is a Lagrangian subvariety of  $X_A$ . Furthermore, these graphs are precisely all Lagrangians  $L \subset X_A$  such that the projection  $L \rightarrow A$  is an isogeny. The sheaf  $S_{\Gamma(\phi), \alpha}$  associated with a Lagrangian pair  $(\Gamma(\phi), \alpha)$ , is a simple semihomogeneous vector bundle with  $c_1/\mathrm{rk} = \phi$  (see [20]). For  $\phi \in \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  we denote the **H**-equivalence class of this bundle by

$$V_\phi = S(\Gamma(\phi)). \quad (2.1.4)$$

The above construction of LI-sheaves can be applied to Lagrangian subvarieties  $L \subset X_A \times X_B$  for a pair of abelian varieties  $A$  and  $B$ , where we use the symplectic self-duality  $(-\eta_A) \times \eta_B$  of  $X_A \times X_B$ . We refer to the corresponding Lagrangian pairs  $(L, \alpha)$  as *Lagrangian correspondences from  $X_A$  to  $X_B$* . The obtained LI-sheaves  $S_{L, \alpha}$  on  $A \times B$  can be used as kernels of *LI-functors*

$$\Phi_{L, \alpha} := \Phi_{S_{L, \alpha}} : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(B).$$

The key property of these functors is that we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\Phi_{L, \alpha} \circ T_{p_1(l)} \simeq \alpha_l \otimes T_{p_2(l)} \circ \Phi_{L, \alpha} \quad (2.1.5)$$

for  $l \in L$ , where  $p_1, p_2 : L \rightarrow X_A$  are two projections. Note that every exact equivalence  $D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(B)$  is given by such an LI-functor with  $L$  being the graph of a symplectic isomorphism  $X_A \simeq X_B$  (see [23]).

Let  $p_{AB} : L \rightarrow A \times B$ ,  $p_{A\hat{A}} : L \rightarrow A \times \hat{A}$  and  $p_{B\hat{B}} : L \rightarrow B \times \hat{B}$  be the projections. The line bundle  $\alpha$  can always be chosen in such a way that its restriction to the connected

component of zero in  $\ker(p_{AB})$  is trivial. In this case  $S_{L,\alpha}$  is a direct summand in

$$p_{AB*}(\alpha^{-1} \otimes p_{A\hat{A}}^* \mathcal{P}^{-1} \otimes p_{B\hat{B}}^* \mathcal{P}) \quad (2.1.6)$$

(see [31, Lem. 3.2.5]). In the case when  $p_{AB}$  is an isogeny the finite group scheme  $\ker(p_{AB})$  has a canonical central extension  $H_L$  by  $\mathbb{G}_m$  with the underlying line bundle  $\alpha|_{\ker(p_{AB})}$ . Furthermore,  $H_L$  is a Heisenberg group scheme and (2.1.6) has a natural  $H_L$ -action, so that

$$S_{L,\alpha} = p_{AB*}(\alpha^{-1} \otimes p_{A\hat{A}}^* \mathcal{P}^{-1} \otimes p_{B\hat{B}}^* \mathcal{P})^I, \quad (2.1.7)$$

for a maximal isotropic subgroup  $I \subset \ker(p_{AB})$  lifted to  $H_L$ . It follows from the theory of weight one representations of Heisenberg groups that taking  $I$ -invariants reduces rank by the factor of  $|\ker(p_{AB})|^{1/2}$ , so we get

$$\mathrm{rk} S_{L,\alpha} = \deg(p_{AB} : L \rightarrow A \times B)^{1/2}. \quad (2.1.8)$$

In particular, for  $B = 0$  we get

$$\mathrm{rk} V_\phi = \det(p_A : \Gamma(\phi) \rightarrow A)^{1/2}. \quad (2.1.9)$$

**Example 2.1.3.** The functor of tensoring with a line bundle  $L$  on  $D^b(A)$  commutes with the action of  $\hat{A}$  and satisfies

$$L \otimes (t_x^* F) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{-\phi_L(x)} \otimes t_x^*(L \otimes F).$$

In fact, it is the LI-functor corresponding to  $g_{-\phi_L} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id} & 0 \\ -\phi_L & \mathrm{id} \end{pmatrix}$ . More generally, for  $\phi \in \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  the LI-functor corresponding to the element  $g_{-\phi} \in \mathbf{N}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  is the functor of tensoring with the semihomogeneous vector bundle  $V_\phi$  (up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence).

The above construction gives a map

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{SH}}^{LI}(A \times A) : g \rightarrow S(g) = S(L(g)). \quad (2.1.10)$$

We denote by  $\Phi_g \in \mathrm{Fun}(D^b(A), D^b(A))/\mathbf{H}$  the functor associated with the kernel  $S(g)$ , defined up to composing with a functor of the form  $T_{(x,\xi)}$ ,  $(x,\xi) \in X_A$  (on either side). For each  $(x,\xi) \in X_A$  we have (noncanonical) isomorphisms

$$\Phi_g \circ T_{N(x,\xi)} \simeq T_{Ng(x,\xi)} \circ \Phi_g,$$

where  $N$  is such that  $Ng \in \mathrm{End}(X_A)$ .

Note that we have a well defined homomorphism induced by  $\Phi_g$

$$\rho(g) : \mathcal{N}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A).$$

**Definition 2.1.4.** Let  $F$  be a cohomologically pure object of  $D^b(A)$  and let  $G$  be an endosimple LI-object. We write

$$F \equiv N \cdot G$$

if there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $F[n]$  and  $G[n]$  are sheaves and  $F[n]$  has a filtration of length  $N$  such that each consecutive quotient is  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalent to  $S(g_1 g_2)$ . In the case of sheaves on  $A \times A$  we will use the same notation for the relation between the corresponding endofunctors of  $D^b(A)$ .

One of the main results of [31] is the following calculation of the convolution of kernels (see [31, Thm. 3.3.4]):

$$S(g_2) \circ_A S(g_1) \equiv N(g_1, g_2) \cdot S(g_1 g_2) [\lambda(g_1, g_2)], \quad (2.1.11)$$

for some 2-cocycles  $N(g_1, g_2)$  and  $\lambda(g_1, g_2)$  of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  with values in  $\mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ , respectively.

<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, we have

$$N(g_1, g_2) = \frac{q(L(g_1))^{1/2} q(L(g_2))^{1/2}}{q(L(g_1 g_2))^{1/2}}, \quad (2.1.12)$$

where

$$q(g) = q(L(g)) = \deg(p_1 : L(g) \rightarrow X_A). \quad (2.1.13)$$

Also, for  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $g_1 g_2 \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  one has

$$\lambda(g_1, g_2) = -i(b(g_1)^{-1} b(g_1 g_2) b(g_2)^{-1}). \quad (2.1.14)$$

Note that in order for the right-hand side to be well-defined the argument of  $i(\cdot)$  should be symmetric. This indeed follows from the equality

$$b_1^{-1}(a_1 b_2 + b_1 d_2) b_2^{-1} = b_1^{-1} a_1 + d_2 b_2^{-1},$$

where we use the usual notation for the entries of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ .

**Definition 2.1.5.** We denote by  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  the central extension of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$  associated with the 2-cocycle  $\lambda(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Explicitly  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} = \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{Z}$  with the product

$$(g_1, n_1) \cdot (g_2, n_2) = (g_1 g_2, n_1 + n_2 + \lambda(g_1, g_2)).$$

Note that since the subset  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  is big (see Lemma 1.3.4), by Lemma 1.3.3(ii), the formula (2.1.14) determines the extension  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  uniquely up to a unique isomorphism.

Let us denote by  $\overline{\mathbf{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^*$  the set of equivalence classes with respect to the equivalence relation generated by  $F \sim N \cdot F$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . By (2.1.11), the map  $g \mapsto S(g) \bmod \mathbb{N}^*$  defines a homomorphism of monoids

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{SH}}^{LI}(A \times A)^{op}/\mathbb{N}^*, \quad (2.1.15)$$

and hence a homomorphism of monoids

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(D^b(A), D^b(A))/(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{N}^*) : g \mapsto \Phi_g, \quad (2.1.16)$$

where on the right we consider functors up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence and up to replacing  $\Phi$  with  $N \cdot \Phi = \Phi^{\oplus N}$ .

On the level of numerical Grothendieck groups we can eliminate taking quotient by  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . Namely, let us set for  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$

$$\hat{\rho}(g) = \frac{\rho(g)}{q(g)^{1/2}} : \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.1.17)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In [31, Thm. 3.3.4] we made the assumption  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  which implies a stronger statement: the left-hand side of (2.1.11) is a direct sum of objects  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalent to the right-hand side. It is easy to see that the same argument in the positive characteristic case gives a filtration instead of a direct sum.

Then from (2.1.11) and (2.1.12) we derive that

$$\hat{\rho}(g_1)\hat{\rho}(g_2) = (-1)^{\lambda(g_1, g_2)}\hat{\rho}(g_1g_2),$$

where  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Thus,  $\hat{\rho}$  defines a homomorphism from  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  to  $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R})$ , trivial on the central subgroup  $2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ . Note that the quotient  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is a double cover of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Below we will introduce an algebraic structure on this double cover and will show that  $\hat{\rho}$  is induced by an algebraic homomorphism defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  (see Sections 2.3 and 2.5).

**2.2. Splittings over subgroups.** We are going to define a splitting of the central extension  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  over the parabolic subgroup  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  of lower-triangular matrices (resp., over the subgroup  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  of upper-triangular matrices). Note that  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  is a semidirect product of the subgroups of strictly upper triangular matrices  $\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and of diagonal matrices  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathrm{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^*$ .

**Proposition 2.2.1.** (i) *There exist unique liftings of the subgroups  $\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\mathbf{N}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ . The lifting of the element  $g_\phi^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\phi \in \mathrm{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  is given by  $(g_\phi^+, i(\phi)) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ . The lifting of the element  $g_\phi^- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \phi & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\phi \in \mathrm{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  is given by  $(g_\phi^-, 0) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ . The corresponding functor  $\Phi_{g_\phi^-}$  (defined up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence) is given by tensoring with the semihomogeneous bundle  $V_{-\phi}$  (see (2.1.4)).*

(ii) *For  $t = t_a = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{a} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  we have (up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence)*

$$S(t) = \mathcal{O}_B$$

*for some abelian subvariety  $B \subset A \times A$  such that the two projections  $p, q : B \rightarrow A$  are isogenies. Hence, the functor  $\Phi_t$  is of the form  $q_*p^*$  (up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence).*

(iii) *For any  $t \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  one has  $\lambda(t, g) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Uniqueness of liftings follows from the fact that there are no non-trivial homomorphisms from a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, to check the formula for the lifting of  $g_\phi^+$  we have to check that

$$S(g_{\phi_2}^+) \circ S(g_{\phi_1}^+) = S(g_{\phi_1 + \phi_2}^+) [i(\phi_1 + \phi_2) - i(\phi_1) - i(\phi_2)],$$

for  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathrm{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\phi_1 + \phi_2 \in \mathrm{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$ . But

$$\lambda(g_{\phi_1}^+, g_{\phi_2}^+) = -i(\phi_1^{-1}(\phi_1 + \phi_2)\phi_2^{-1}) = -i(\phi_1^{-1} + \phi_2^{-1}),$$

so we are reduced to showing that

$$i(\phi_1^{-1} + \phi_2^{-1}) = i(\phi_1) + i(\phi_2) - i(\phi_1 + \phi_2).$$

Since

$$i(\phi_1^{-1} + \phi_2^{-1}) = i(\phi_1(\phi_1^{-1} + \phi_2^{-1})\phi_1) = i(\phi_1 + \phi_1\phi_2^{-1}\phi_1),$$

this follows from [27, Prop. 15.8] (taking into account that  $i(-x) = g - i(x)$ ).

Since the composition of functors  $\otimes V_{\phi_1}$  and  $\otimes V_{\phi_2}$  is again tensoring with a bundle that has a filtration with consecutive quotients  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalent to  $V_{\phi_1+\phi_2}$ , the assertion about the lifting of  $g_\phi^-$  follows (cf. Ex. 2.1.3).

(ii) Assume first that  $a \in \text{End}(A)$ . Then  $L(t_a) \simeq A \times \hat{A}$  and its embedding into  $X_A \times X_A$  is given by

$$(x, \xi) \mapsto (ax, \xi, x, \hat{a}\xi).$$

This implies that  $(L(t_a), \mathcal{O})$  is a Lagrangian correspondence from  $X_A$  to itself, so (2.1.6) in this case gives that

$$S_{L(t_a), \mathcal{O}} \simeq (a, \text{id}_A)_* \mathcal{O}_A$$

and the corresponding functor  $\Phi_{t_a}$  is the pull-back functor  $a^*$ . Similarly, if  $a^{-1} \in \text{End}(A)$  then

$$S_{L(t_a), \mathcal{O}} \simeq (\text{id}_A, a^{-1})_* \mathcal{O}_A$$

and the corresponding functor  $\Phi_{t_a}$  is the push-forward functor  $(a^{-1})_*$ . The general case is obtained by combining these two.

(iii) We have to check that the convolution  $S(g) \circ_A S(t)$  is a sheaf. Indeed, using the form of  $S(t)$  from (ii) we obtain

$$S(g) \circ_A S(t) \simeq (\text{id}_A \times q)_* (\text{id}_A \times p)^* S(g),$$

where  $p, q : B \rightarrow A$  are isogenies. □

**Corollary 2.2.2.** *There is a unique splitting of the central extension  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  over  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  (resp., over  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$ ), which maps  $t \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  to  $(t, 0) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ .*

**2.3. Identifying central extensions.** Recall that  $D_A \subset \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$  denotes the complexified ample cone of  $A$ .

Consider the function  $\Delta : \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*(D_A)$  given by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \Delta(g)(\omega) = \deg(a + b\omega),$$

where  $\omega \in D_A$ .

**Lemma 2.3.1.** *For  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  one has*

$$\Delta(g_1 g_2)(\omega) = \Delta(g_1)(g_2(\omega)) \cdot \Delta(g_2)(\omega), \tag{2.3.1}$$

*i.e.,  $\Delta$  is a 1-cocycle.*

*Proof.* This follows from the identity

$$a + b\omega = (a_1 + b_1 g_2(\omega))(a_2 + b_2 \omega),$$

where  $g_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $g_1 g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . □

Since  $D_A$  is contractible, we have an exact sequence of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D_A) \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi i \cdot ?)} \mathcal{O}^*(D_A) \rightarrow 0.$$



Applying the boundary homomorphism  $H^1(\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}))$  to the 1-cocycle  $\Delta(g)^{-1}$  we obtain a central extension  $U^\Delta$  of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Explicitly,

$$U^\Delta = \{(g, f) \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}(D_A) \mid \Delta(g) = \exp(-2\pi i f)\}.$$

The multiplication rule on  $U^\Delta$  uses the cocycle condition on  $\Delta$ : we set

$$(g_1, f_1) \cdot (g_2, f_2) = (g_1 g_2, f_1(g_2(\cdot)) + f_2).$$

**Theorem 2.3.2.** *There is a homomorphism  $\iota : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow U^\Delta$ , lifting the natural embedding  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  and sending  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  to  $(1, n) \in U^\Delta$ . This homomorphism is uniquely characterized by the condition that for  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  one has*

$$\iota(g, 0) = (g, f),$$

where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} f(inH) = -\frac{g}{2}$$

for any ample class  $H$ .

*Proof.* First, we are going to define a section  $\sigma : \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U^\Delta$  of the projection  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  over the open subset  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of  $g$  with  $\deg(b(g)) \neq 0$ . Note that for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R})$  one has

$$\Delta(g)(\omega) = \deg(a + b\omega) = \deg(b) \cdot \deg(b^{-1}a + \omega).$$

Since  $\deg(b) > 0$ , to define  $\sigma(g) = (g, f_g^\sigma)$  amounts to choosing a branch of the argument for  $\deg(b^{-1}a + \omega)^{-1}$ . Let us choose the branch of the argument of  $\deg(b^{-1}a + \omega)$  in such a way that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \operatorname{Arg}(\deg(b^{-1}a + inH)) = \pi \cdot g,$$

where  $H$  is an ample class and set  $\operatorname{Arg}(\Delta(g)(\omega)^{-1}) = -\operatorname{Arg}(\deg(b^{-1}a + \omega))$ . Then we set  $\iota(g, 0) = \sigma(g)$  for  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  is big in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ , by Lemma 1.3.3, it remains to show that for  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $g_1 g_2 \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  one has

$$\sigma(g_1)\sigma(g_2) = \sigma(g_1 g_2) \cdot (1, \lambda(g_1, g_2)).$$

In other words, we have to check that

$$f_{g_1}^\sigma(g_2(\omega)) + f_{g_2}^\sigma(\omega) = f_{g_1 g_2}^\sigma(\omega) + \lambda(g_1, g_2),$$

or equivalently, that with the above choice of  $\operatorname{Arg}(\Delta(g))$  one has

$$\operatorname{Arg}(\Delta(g_1)(g_2(\omega))) + \operatorname{Arg}(\Delta(g_2)(\omega)) = \operatorname{Arg}(\Delta(g_1 g_2)(\omega)) - 2\pi \cdot \lambda(g_1, g_2). \quad (2.3.2)$$

It is enough to check the equality of the limits of both sides for  $\omega = inH$  as  $n$  goes to infinity (where  $H$  is an ample class). Let  $g_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_2(inH) = d_2 b_2^{-1}.$$

Thus, (2.3.2) reduces to the equality

$$\operatorname{Arg}(\Delta(g_1)(d_2 b_2^{-1})) = -2\pi \lambda(g_1, g_2) = i(b_1^{-1} b(g_1 g_2) b_2^{-1}).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arg}(\Delta(g_1)(d_2 b_2^{-1})) &= \text{Arg}(\deg(b_1^{-1} a_1 + d_2 b_2^{-1})) = \text{Arg}(\deg(b_1^{-1} b(g_1 g_2) b_2^{-1})) = \\ &= 2\pi \cdot i(b_1^{-1} b(g_1 g_2) b_2^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 1.2.2.  $\square$

The central extension  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  has a natural continuous splitting over the subgroup  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ . Indeed, for  $g \in \mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  we have  $\Delta(g) = \deg(a) > 0$ , so we can lift  $g$  to

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{P}^-}(g) = (g, -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\deg(a))),$$

where we choose  $\log(\deg(a))$  to be in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The following result will be useful for us later.

**Lemma 2.3.3.** *The restriction of the above lifting homomorphism  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U^\Delta$  to  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  corresponds via  $\iota$  to the lifting homomorphism  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  considered in Corollary 2.2.2.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.2.1(i), it is enough to check the compatibility of liftings on  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$ . In view of Proposition 2.2.1(iii) this follows from the equality

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{P}^-}(t)\sigma(g) = \sigma(tg)$$

for any  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$ , where  $\sigma : \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U^\Delta$  is the section used in the proof of Theorem 2.3.2.  $\square$

Similarly, the extension  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  has a natural continuous splitting over  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{R})$ , which is the same as before over  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{R})$ , and over  $\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{R})$  is described as follows.

**Lemma 2.3.4.** *There is a unique splitting of  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  over  $\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \text{NS}(\hat{A}, \mathbb{R})$  which is given by the branch of*

$$\text{Arg } \Delta^{-1}|_{\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{R})} = \text{Arg } \deg(1 + \psi\omega)$$

*that tends to 0 as  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\psi \in \text{NS}(\hat{A}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \text{Hom}(\hat{A}, A)_{\mathbb{R}}^+$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check that this choice of argument gives a lifting. The uniqueness follows from the fact that there are no nontrivial homomorphisms from a real vector space to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

Let us consider the induced double cover  $U^\Delta/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ . We are going to introduce an algebraic structure on this group.

**Lemma 2.3.5.** *Consider a field extension  $\mathbb{Q} \subset F$ , where either  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $F$  is algebraically closed. Then for every  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}(F)$ , the polynomial  $\Delta(g)(\phi) = \deg(a + b\phi)$  on  $\text{NS}(A)(F)$  is a complete square (and is nonzero).*

*Proof.* For  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0$  this follows from the equality

$$\deg(a + b\phi) = \deg(b) \deg(b^{-1}a + \phi) = \deg(b) \chi(b^{-1}a + \phi)^2$$

and the fact that  $\deg(b) \geq 0$  in the case  $F = \mathbb{R}$ . Viewing the equation (2.3.1) as an identity of rational functions on  $\text{NS}(A)$ , we see that if  $\Delta(g_1)$  and  $\Delta(g_2)$  are complete

squares then  $\Delta(g_1g_2)$  is a complete square as a rational function on  $\text{NS}(A)$ , and hence, as a polynomial.  $\square$

**Definition 2.3.6.** Let  $\text{Pol}_{\leq g}(\text{NS}(A))$  denote the space of polynomials of degree  $\leq g$  on  $\text{NS}(A)$ . We define a double covering  $\text{Spin} = \text{Spin}_{X_A} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$  of algebraic groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by setting

$$\text{Spin} = \{(g, f) \in \mathbf{U} \times \text{Pol}_{\leq g}(\text{NS}(A)) \mid \Delta(g) = f^2\}$$

with the group law

$$(g_1, f_1) \cdot (g_2, f_2) = (g_1g_2, f_1(g_2(\cdot)) \cdot f_2).$$

Here the rational function  $f_1(g_2(\cdot)) \cdot f_2$  is actually a polynomial since its square is  $\Delta(g_1g_2)$ .

Note that by Lemma 2.3.5, the map  $\pi : \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  is a double covering. We have a natural isomorphism of groups

$$U^\Delta/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R}) : (g, f) \mapsto (g, \exp(-\pi i f)). \quad (2.3.3)$$

We have two natural subgroups in  $\text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} = \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})), \quad \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{spin}} = \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})). \quad (2.3.4)$$

**Lemma 2.3.7.** *Consider the homomorphism*

$$\bar{\tau} : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} \subset \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$$

induced by  $\iota : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow U^\Delta$  (see Theorem 2.3.2) and the isomorphism (2.3.3). Then for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  we have

$$\bar{\tau}(g, 0) = (g, \sqrt{\deg(b)} \cdot \chi(b^{-1}a + \phi)),$$

with  $\sqrt{\deg(b)} > 0$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.3.2,  $\bar{\tau}(g, 0) = (g, f)$ , where  $f(\phi)$  is the square root of  $\Delta(g)(\phi) = \deg(b) \cdot \deg(b^{-1}a + \phi)$  with the property

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Arg } f(inH) = \frac{\pi \cdot g}{2} \bmod 2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since  $\text{Arg } \chi(b^{-1}a + inH)$  has the same limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , the assertion follows.  $\square$

**Remarks 2.3.8.** 1. If for a field extension  $\mathbb{Q} \subset F$  there is a multiplicative norm  $\text{Nm}$  on  $\text{End}(A) \otimes F$  such that  $\text{Nm}^2 = \deg$  then the map  $g \mapsto (g, \text{Nm}(a + b\omega))$  defines a splitting of the extension  $\text{Spin} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$  over  $F$ . For example, if  $A = E^n$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, then  $\text{End}(A) = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\deg([M]_A) = \det(M)^2$  for a matrix  $M \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Hence, in this case the norm  $\det(\cdot)$  gives a splitting of the spin-covering.

2. The group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{spin}}$  is exactly the group  $USpin(A \times \hat{A})$  defined by Mukai in [21] (the same group is denoted by  $\text{Spin}(A)$  in [13]).

Using the isomorphism  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}/2\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}}$  we can define a homomorphism

$$\hat{\rho} : \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}) \quad (2.3.5)$$

such that  $\hat{\rho}(\tau(g, 0))$  is the operator  $\hat{\rho}(g)$  (see (2.1.17)).

**2.4. The action on LI-objects.** Recall that with a Lagrangian correspondence from  $X_A$  to itself extending a symplectic isomorphism  $g : X_A \rightarrow X_A$  in  $\mathcal{Ab}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  we associate an endofunctor  $\Phi_g$  of  $D^b(A)$ , defined up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence (see Sec. 2.1). We are going to use these endofunctors to define an action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on some extension of  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)$  (see Corollary 2.4.2).

**Theorem 2.4.1.** (i) *For an element  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  and a Lagrangian subvariety  $L \subset X_A$  we have*

$$\Phi_g(S(L)) \equiv N(g, L) \cdot S(gL)[\lambda(g, L)] \quad (2.4.1)$$

for some  $\lambda(g, L) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $N(g, L) \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , where we use Def. 2.1.4.

(ii) *If  $L = \Gamma(\phi)$  for an isogeny  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and if  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  satisfies  $\deg(b) \neq 0$ ,  $\deg(a + b\phi) \neq 0$  and  $\deg(c + d\phi) \neq 0$ , then we have*

$$N(g, L) = \deg(a + b\phi)^{1/2} \cdot q(g)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{\text{rk } V_{g(\phi)}}{\text{rk } V_{\phi}}, \quad (2.4.2)$$

where  $q(g)$  is given by (2.1.13),  $\text{rk } V_{\phi}$  is given by (2.1.9), and

$$\lambda(g, \Gamma(\phi)) = -i(b^{-1}a + \phi). \quad (2.4.3)$$

*Proof.* (i) Let us extend  $L$  and  $L(g)$  to Lagrangian pairs  $(L, \alpha)$  and  $(L(g), \beta)$ . By [31, Thm. 3.2.11], applied to the Lagrangian correspondence  $(L(g), \beta)$  and to  $(L, \alpha)$  viewed as a Lagrangian correspondence from 0 to  $X_A$ , we obtain

$$\Phi_{L(g), \beta}(S_{L, \alpha}) = S_{L(g) \circ L, \beta \circ \alpha}[i]$$

for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As in [31, Thm. 3.2.14] one can check that  $i$  does not depend on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Next, we have to relate the composed Lagrangian correspondence  $S_{L(g) \circ L, \beta \circ \alpha}$  with  $S(gL)$ . Here we use the definition of the composition of Lagrangian correspondences from [31, Sec. 3]. Note that the result is a *generalized Lagrangian correspondence* in the sense of [31, Def. 3.1.1]. We are going to apply [31, Prop. 2.4.7(ii)] to the generalized Lagrangian  $Z := L(g) \circ L \xrightarrow{j} X_A$ . Note that  $Z \subset L(g) \subset X_A \times X_A$  is the preimage of  $L$  under the first projection  $p_1 : L(g) \rightarrow X_A$ , and the homomorphism  $j : Z \rightarrow X_A$  is induced by the second projection  $p_2 : L(g) \rightarrow X_A$ . By [31, Prop. 2.4.7(ii)], we have

$$S_{Z, \beta \circ \alpha} \equiv n^{1/2} \cdot |\pi_0(Z)|^{1/2} \cdot S(j(Z_0))$$

in  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A \times A)$ , where  $n = |\pi_0(j(Z))|$  (here  $Z_0$  is the connected component of 0 in  $Z$ ). By definition, we have  $j(Z_0) = gL$ . Thus, we deduce (2.4.1) with

$$N(g, L) = |\pi_0(Z)|^{1/2} \cdot n^{1/2}.$$

Also, by [31, (2.4.12)], we have  $n = \deg(Z_0 \rightarrow j(Z_0))$ .

(ii) Now assume that  $L = \Gamma(\phi)$  and that  $g(\phi)$  is defined and is an isogeny. Note that for sufficiently divisible  $N$  we have an isogeny

$$A \rightarrow Z_0 : x \mapsto (Nx, N\phi x, N(a + b\phi)x, N(c + d\phi)x) \in L(g) \subset X_A \times X_A. \quad (2.4.4)$$

In particular, both projections from  $Z_0$  to  $A$  are isogenies. Let us consider the commutative diagram of isogenies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{A,2} \\ j(Z_0) & \xrightarrow{p_A} & A \end{array}$$

where  $p_{A,2}$  is the composition  $Z \rightarrow L(g) \xrightarrow{p_2} X_A \xrightarrow{p_A} A$ . Considering the degrees we obtain

$$\deg(p_{A,2} : Z \rightarrow A) = |\pi_0(Z)| \cdot \deg(p_{A,2}|_{Z_0}) = |\pi_0(Z)| \cdot \deg(j(Z_0) \rightarrow A) \cdot n.$$

Recall that  $j(Z_0) = gL$ , so we get

$$N(g, L) = \frac{\deg(p_{A,2} : Z \rightarrow A)^{1/2}}{\deg(gL \rightarrow A)^{1/2}}.$$

Now let us consider the projection  $p_{A,1} : Z \rightarrow L(g) \xrightarrow{p_1} X_A \xrightarrow{p_A} A$ . Using the isogeny (2.4.4) we see that

$$Np_{A,2}|_{Z_0} = N(a + b\phi)p_{A,1}|_{Z_0}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\deg(p_{A,2} : Z \rightarrow A)}{\deg(p_{A,1} : Z \rightarrow A)} = \frac{\deg(p_{A,2}|_{Z_0})}{\deg(p_{A,1}|_{Z_0})} = \deg(a + b\phi). \quad (2.4.5)$$

Note that  $p_{A,1}$  factors through the projection  $Z \rightarrow L$  and we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L(g) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1 \\ L & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_A \end{array}$$

which shows that  $\deg(Z \rightarrow L) = \deg(p_1 : L(g) \rightarrow X_A) = q(L(g))$ . Thus,

$$\deg(p_{A,1} : Z \rightarrow A) = q(L(g)) \cdot \deg(L \rightarrow A)$$

and (2.4.5) can be rewritten as

$$\deg(p_{A,2} : Z \rightarrow A) = \deg(a + b\phi) \cdot q(L(g)) \cdot \deg(L \rightarrow A).$$

Therefore,

$$N(g, L) = \deg(a + b\phi)^{1/2} \cdot q(L(g))^{1/2} \frac{\deg(L \rightarrow A)^{1/2}}{\deg(gL \rightarrow A)^{1/2}}.$$

Recalling that  $\text{rk } S(L) = \deg(L \rightarrow A)^{1/2}$  we obtain (2.4.2).

Finally, to compute  $\lambda(g, \Gamma(\phi))$  we apply [31, Prop. 3.2.9]. Namely, we have to consider the fibered product  $\Gamma(\phi) \times_A L(g)$  where we use the first projection  $L(g) \rightarrow A$ . Note that we have an isogeny

$$A \times \hat{A} \rightarrow (\Gamma(\phi) \times_A L(g))_0 : (x, \xi) \mapsto ((Nx, N\phi x), (Nx, N\xi, N(ax + b\xi), N(cx + d\xi))), \quad (2.4.6)$$

where  $N$  is sufficiently divisible. Next, we set

$$F = \ker(\Gamma(\phi) \times_A L(g) \xrightarrow{\gamma} A),$$

where  $\gamma$  is induced by the projection to  $L(g)$  followed by  $L(g) \xrightarrow{p_2} X_A \rightarrow A$ . Note that the composition of  $\gamma$  with the isogeny (2.4.6) is given by  $(x, \xi) \mapsto N(ax + b\xi)$ . Hence, we have an isogeny

$$A \rightarrow F_0 : x \mapsto ((Nx, N\phi x), (Nx, -Nb^{-1}ax, 0, N(cx - db^{-1}ax))). \quad (2.4.7)$$

By [31, Prop. 3.2.9], we have

$$\lambda(g, \Gamma(\phi)) = -i(g_0 \circ f_0^{-1}),$$

where  $f_0 : F_0 \rightarrow A$  is the natural projection and for  $(l, m) \in F_0 \subset \Gamma(\phi) \times L(g)$ ,

$$g_0(l, m) = p_{\hat{A}}(l) - p_{\hat{A},1}(m),$$

where  $p_{\hat{A}} : \Gamma(\phi) \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is the natural projection and  $p_{\hat{A},1}$  is the composition  $L(g) \xrightarrow{p_1} X_A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ . Thus, the compositions of  $f_0$  and  $g_0$  with the isogeny (2.4.7) are  $x \mapsto Nx$  and  $x \mapsto N(\phi + b^{-1}ax)$ , respectively. Hence,

$$g_0 \circ f_0^{-1} = \phi + b^{-1}a$$

as required.  $\square$

Let us set

$$\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)_{\mathbb{R}} = \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}/\mathbb{N}^*,$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  acts by  $(F, r) \mapsto (nF, n^{-1}r)$ . Then the bijection of Proposition 2.1.2 extends to a bijection of  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -sets

$$\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

**Corollary 2.4.2.** *There is an action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ , commuting with  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ -action, such that  $(g, n)$  acts by*

$$F \mapsto q(L(g))^{-1/2} \cdot \Phi_g(F)[n].$$

For  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $L \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  we have

$$\lambda(g_1, g_2(L)) + \lambda(g_2, L) = \lambda(g_1, g_2) + \lambda(g_1 g_2, L).$$

Also, the maps  $\Phi_g$  induce an action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^* \simeq \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that the natural maps

$$\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}_{>0} \quad (2.4.8)$$

$$\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} \quad (2.4.9)$$

associating with an LI-sheaf  $F$  its class  $[F]$  in  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  are  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ -equivariant, where the action on  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  is given by  $\hat{\rho}$  (see (2.1.17)).

**2.5. Action of Spin on  $\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ .** We are going to define an algebraic action of Spin on  $\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}$  inducing the homomorphism  $\hat{\rho}$  on  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} \subset \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ . The idea is to use the algebraicity of the corresponding projective representation and of the action of an open subset on a fixed nonzero vector. We will need the following simple result.

**Lemma 2.5.1.** *Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$ ,  $X$  a scheme (resp., a set),  $\overline{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ ,  $\overline{g} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  and  $\overline{h} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  be regular morphisms (resp., maps to the set of  $F$ -points) such that the lines  $\overline{f}(x), \overline{g}(x)$  and  $\overline{h}(x)$  are all distinct and  $\overline{h}(x) \subset \text{span}(\overline{f}(x), \overline{g}(x))$  for each  $x \in X$ . Suppose we have a lifting of  $\overline{f}$  to a regular morphism (resp., map to the set of  $F$ -points)  $f : X \rightarrow V - \{0\}$ . Then there exist unique liftings of  $\overline{g}$  and  $\overline{h}$  to regular morphisms (resp., maps to the set of  $F$ -points)  $g, h : X \rightarrow V - \{0\}$  such that  $h = f + g$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a subvariety

$$Y \subset (V - \{0\}) \times (V - \{0\}) \times (V - \{0\})$$

consisting of  $(v_1, v_2, v_1 + v_2)$  such that  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are linearly independent, and a subvariety

$$\overline{Y} \subset (V - \{0\}) \times \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V)$$

consisting of  $(v, L, L')$  such that  $v \notin L$ ,  $v \notin L'$ ,  $L \neq L'$  and  $v \in L + L'$ . Then the natural projection  $p : Y \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  is an isomorphism. We have a regular morphism (resp., map to the set of  $F$ -points)  $(f, \overline{g}, \overline{h}) : X \rightarrow \overline{Y}$ . Now the components of the corresponding map  $X \rightarrow Y$  give the required liftings.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.2.** *For a symmetric isogeny  $\phi \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  we have*

$$\frac{[V_{\phi}]}{\text{rk } V_{\phi}} = \ell(\phi) \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

where  $V_{\phi}$  is the semihomogeneous vector bundle (2.1.4) and  $\ell : \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is the polynomial map (1.1.1).

*Proof.* Since  $\text{rk } \ell(\phi) = 1$ , it suffices to check that the required identity up to proportionality. Recall that if  $(L = \Gamma(\phi), \alpha)$  is a Lagrangian pair then the line in  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  corresponding to  $V_{\phi}$  is spanned by the class of  $p_{A*}(\mathcal{L})$ , where  $p_A : L \rightarrow A$  is the projection and  $\mathcal{L} = \alpha^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{P}|_L$  (see (2.1.7)). Also, by the definition of a Lagrangian pair,

$$\Lambda(\alpha)_{l_1, l_2} \simeq \mathcal{P}_{p_A(l_1), p_A(l_2)},$$

so  $\phi_{\mathcal{L}} : L \rightarrow \hat{L}$  is given

$$\phi_{\mathcal{L}} = \widehat{p_A} \circ p_{\hat{A}} = \widehat{p_A} \circ p_A,$$

where  $p_{\hat{A}} : L \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is the projection. Note that for sufficiently divisible  $N$  we have an isogeny

$$i : A \rightarrow L : x \mapsto (Nx, N\phi x)$$

and the classes of  $p_{A*}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $[N]_*(i^*\mathcal{L})$  in  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  are proportional. We have

$$\phi_{i^*}(\mathcal{L}) = \hat{i} \circ \phi_{\alpha^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{P}|_L} \circ i = \widehat{p_A \circ i} \circ p_{\hat{A} \circ i} = N^2 \phi.$$

Thus, the class  $[i^*(\mathcal{L})] \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is proportional to  $\ell(N^2 \phi) = [N]^* \ell(\phi)$ . Hence, the class of  $[N]_*(i^*\mathcal{L})$  is proportional to

$$[N]_*[N]^* \ell(\phi) = N^{2g} \ell(\phi)$$

as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.3.** *The homomorphism  $\hat{\rho} : \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R})$  (see (2.1.17)) extends to an algebraic homomorphism*

$$\hat{\rho} : \text{Spin} \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$$

*defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $(g, f) \in \text{Spin}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\phi \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{C})$ , such that  $g(\phi)$  is defined and belongs to  $\text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{C})$ , we have*

$$\hat{\rho}(g, f)(\ell(\phi)) = f(\phi) \cdot \ell(g(\phi)). \quad (2.5.1)$$

*Proof.* First, we observe that Theorem 2.4.1 implies (2.5.1) in the case when  $(g, f) \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} \subset \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\phi \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  is such that  $g(\phi)$  is defined and belongs to  $\text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$ . Indeed, from (2.4.1), (2.4.3), (2.4.2) and Lemma 2.5.2 we obtain in this case

$$\hat{\rho}(g)(\ell(\phi)) = (-1)^{i(b^{-1}a + \phi)} |\deg(a + b\phi)|^{1/2} \cdot \ell(g(\phi)) = \deg(b)^{1/2} \cdot \chi(b^{-1}a + \phi) \cdot \ell(g(\phi)).$$

Thus, our claim holds for

$$\bar{\iota}(g, 0) = (g, \deg(b)^{1/2} \cdot \chi(b^{-1}a + \phi)).$$

It remains to note that both sides of (2.5.1) change sign when  $(g, f)$  gets multiplied by  $-1 \in \{\pm 1\} \subset \text{Spin}$ .

By [26, Thm. 5.1], there is an algebraic homomorphism  $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \text{PGL}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}})$  sending  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  to  $\rho(g) \bmod \mathbb{Q}^*$ . Let us denote the corresponding action of  $\mathbf{U}$  on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$  by

$$\bar{\kappa} : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

We also have a map

$$\kappa^{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} \times \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} : (\tilde{g}, \mathbf{v}) \mapsto \hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})(\mathbf{v})$$

inducing the restriction of  $\bar{\kappa}(\mathbb{R})$  to  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R})$ . We are going to extend  $\kappa^{\mathbb{Q}}$  to an algebraic morphism using the density of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  in  $\mathbf{U}$  (see Lemma 1.3.4).

Note that for a fixed isogeny  $\phi$  the right-hand side of (2.5.1) extends to a regular morphism (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ )

$$\kappa_{\ell(\phi)} : \pi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where  $V \subset \mathbf{U}^0 \subset \mathbf{U}$  is an open subset of  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0$  such that  $g(\phi)$  is defined and is an isogeny. Furthermore, as we have seen in the beginning of the proof, the corresponding map on



$\pi^{-1}(V(\mathbb{Q}))$  coincides with the restriction of  $\kappa^{\mathbb{Q}}$  to  $\pi^{-1}(V(\mathbb{Q})) \times \{\ell(\phi)\}$ . In particular, the map

$$\bar{\kappa}_{\ell(\phi)} : \pi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$$

obtained from  $\kappa_{\ell(\phi)}$  is the composition of the projection to  $V$  with the restriction of  $\bar{\kappa}$  to  $\mathbf{U} \times \{\langle \ell(\phi) \rangle\}$  (since we know this on the dense subset  $V(\mathbb{Q})$ ).

Now if  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is any vector, linearly independent with  $\ell(\phi)$ , then by Lemma 2.5.1, we obtain unique liftings

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{v}}, \kappa_{\ell(\phi)+\mathbf{v}} : \pi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}} \quad (2.5.2)$$

of the restrictions of  $\bar{\kappa}$  to  $\pi^{-1}(V) \times \{\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle\}$  and  $\pi^{-1}(V) \times \{\langle \ell(\phi) + \mathbf{v} \rangle\}$ , such that

$$\kappa_{\ell(\phi)+\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{g}) = \kappa_{\ell(\phi)}(\tilde{g}) + \kappa_{\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{g}).$$

Furthermore, the set-theoretic part of Lemma 2.5.1 implies that the maps (2.5.2) induce the corresponding restrictions of  $\kappa^{\mathbb{Q}}$  on  $\pi^{-1}(V(\mathbb{Q}))$ .

Thus, if we consider a basis of  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  of the form  $(\ell(\phi), \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$  then combining the maps  $\kappa_{\mathbf{v}_i}$  constructed above we get a regular morphism

$$\hat{\rho}_V : \pi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$$

inducing  $\hat{\rho}$  on  $\pi^{-1}(V(\mathbb{Q}))$ . We can cover  $\mathrm{Spin}$  with open subsets of the form  $\pi^{-1}(V)\tilde{g}$  with  $\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{spin}}$  and define a regular morphism  $\pi^{-1}(V)\tilde{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$  by sending  $\tilde{h}\tilde{g}$  to  $\hat{\rho}_V(\tilde{h})\hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})$ . Using the density of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{spin}}$  in  $\mathrm{Spin}$ , one easily checks that these maps glue into the required algebraic homomorphism  $\pi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$ .  $\square$

Consider the action of  $\mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  on the trivial  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}^*$  over the domain  $D_A$  given by

$$(g, f) \cdot (\omega, z) = (g(\omega), f(\omega) \cdot z),$$

where  $(g, f) \in \mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\omega \in D_A$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . The map  $\ell : D \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  (see (1.1.1)) extends to a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant map

$$\ell : D_A \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C} : (\omega, z) \mapsto z \cdot \ell(\omega). \quad (2.5.3)$$

From the identity (2.5.1) we immediately get the following result.

**Corollary 2.5.4.** *The map (2.5.3) is  $\mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant.*

**Proposition 2.5.5.** *For any  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and any  $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{C})$  one has*

$$\chi(\hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})(x), \hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})(y)) = \chi(x, y). \quad (2.5.4)$$

*Proof.* Note that the left-hand side of (2.5.4) depends only on the image of  $\tilde{g}$  in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{C})$ . Let us first consider the case when this image is an element  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Consider the functor  $\Phi = \Phi_{L(g), \alpha} : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(A)$  associated with some Lagrangian correspondence  $(L(g), \alpha)$  extending  $g$ , so that  $\Phi$  represents the  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence class of  $\Phi_g$ . Let  $\Psi$  be the right adjoint functor to  $\Phi$ . By [31, Prop. 3.2.7],  $\Psi$  differs by a shift from the LI-functor associated with some Lagrangian correspondence extending  $L(g^{-1})$ . Applying (2.1.11) and (2.1.12) for  $g_1 = g$  and  $g_2 = g^{-1}$  we obtain

$$\Psi \circ \Phi \equiv N \cdot \mathrm{Id},$$

where  $N = q(g)^{1/2}q(g^{-1})^{1/2}$ . Since for  $F, G \in D^b(A)$  we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}^*(\Phi(F), \Phi(G)) = \mathrm{Hom}^*(F, \Psi\Phi(G)),$$

we deduce the equality

$$\chi(\hat{\rho}(g)([F]), \hat{\rho}(g)([G])) = \frac{q(g^{-1})^{1/2}}{q(g)^{1/2}} \cdot \chi([F], [G]). \quad (2.5.5)$$

Since  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  is dense in  $\mathbf{U}$  (see Lemma 1.3.4), there exists an algebraic character  $\varpi : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  such that

$$\chi(\hat{\rho}(g)(x), \hat{\rho}(g)(y)) = \varpi(g) \cdot \chi(x, y)$$

for any  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{C})$ . The character  $\varpi$  restricts trivially to the semisimple subgroup  $S\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{U}$ . Thus, by Lemma 1.3.1(i), it remains to show the triviality of its restriction to  $\mathbf{Z}$ . In fact, we will show directly that  $\varpi(t) = 1$  for any  $t = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{a} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$ , where  $a \in (\mathrm{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^*$ .

Note that this implies that  $\varpi|_{\mathbf{T}} = 1$  since  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  is dense in  $\mathbf{T}$ . It suffices to consider the case when  $a \in \mathrm{End}(A)$ . Then the correspondence  $L(t) \subset X_A \times X_A$  is the image of the embedding

$$A \times \hat{A} \rightarrow X_A \times X_A : (x, \xi) \mapsto (ax, \xi, x, \hat{a}(\xi)).$$

Hence, in this case  $q(t) = \deg(a)$  and  $q(t^{-1}) = \deg(\hat{a}) = \deg(a)$ , and our assertion follows from (2.5.5).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.6.** *For  $\tilde{g} = (g, f_g) \in \mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\omega \in D_A$  and  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  one has*

$$\chi(\ell(\omega), \hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})^{-1}(x)) = f_g(\omega) \cdot \chi(\ell(g(\omega)), x).$$

*Proof.* Indeed, we have

$$\chi(\ell(\omega), \hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})^{-1}(x)) = \chi(\hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})(\ell(\omega)), x) = f_g(\omega) \cdot \chi(\ell(g(\omega)), x).$$

$\square$

**Corollary 2.5.7.** *For any  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  one has  $q(g) = q(g^{-1})$ .*

**Examples 2.5.8.** 1. If  $A$  is an abelian variety of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  without complex multiplication then we have  $\mathrm{NS}(A) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot H$ , where  $H$  is an ample generator, and so  $\tau \mapsto \tau\phi_H$  gives an identification  $\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow D_A$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the upper half-plane. The group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  can be identified with  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  with the action on  $\mathfrak{H} \simeq D_A$  given by fractional-linear transformations (1.4.3). Since  $\Delta(g)(\tau \cdot \phi_H) = (a + b\tau)^{2n}$ , we have a natural splitting

$$\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spin}_{X_A}(\mathbb{R}) : g \mapsto (g, (a + b\tau)^n).$$

Furthermore, if  $A$  is generic then  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  can be identified with the  $g + 1$ -dimensional subspace in  $H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$  spanned by the classes  $H^i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, g$ , and formula (2.5.1) shows that  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  as on the standard  $(g + 1)$ -dimensional irreducible representation. Assume in addition that  $\phi_H$  is a principal polarization of  $A$ . Then we can index simple semihomogeneous vector bundles by rational numbers. Namely, for coprime integers  $(r, d)$  with  $r > 0$  we set

$$V_{r,d} = V_{\frac{d}{r}\phi_H}.$$

From formula (2.1.9) we get in this case  $\mathrm{rk} V_{r,d} = r^n$  (see also [20, Rem. 7.13], [27, ch. 12, exer. 2]). Hence, by Lemma 2.5.2,

$$\mathrm{ch}(V_{r,d}) = \sum_{i=0}^n r^{n-i} d^i \cdot \frac{H^i}{i!} \in H^*(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that for  $r = 0$ ,  $d = 1$  this formula gives  $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{O}_x)$ . Using Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula we get the following relations for the form  $\chi$  on  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(H^i, H^{n-i}) &= (-1)^i n!, \\ \chi(\ell(\tau\phi_H), [V_{r,d}]) &= (d - r\tau)^n. \end{aligned}$$

2. Continuing the previous example assume in addition that  $n = \dim A = 3$  (keeping the assumptions that  $A$  is principally polarized and generic). Then  $A$  is the Jacobian of a curve, so  $H^2/2$  is an algebraic class. We claim that the image of the Chern character  $\mathrm{ch} : K_0(A) \rightarrow H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$  contains the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule  $K \subset H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$  spanned by  $(H^i/i!)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ . Indeed, the Chern characters of the structure sheaves of a point and of the curve span the submodule  $\mathbb{Z}H^2/2 + \mathbb{Z}H^3/6$ . Together with  $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{O}_A) = 1$  and  $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{O}(H)) = \exp(H)$  these classes span the whole  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule  $K$ . On the other hand, using the above formula we see that for  $n \geq 3$  the images of the Chern characters of LI-sheaves (which are all  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalent to either  $V_{d,r}$  or to  $\mathcal{O}_x$ ) span a proper  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule in  $K$ . In particular, the LI-objects do not generate  $D^b(A)$  in this case.

3. If  $A$  is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  with complex multiplication then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbf{U}(1)/\{\pm 1\},$$

where  $\{\pm 1\}$  is embedded into the product diagonally. Also,  $D_A = \mathfrak{H}$ , the upper half-plane, and  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $D_A$  through the projection to  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\{\pm 1\}$ . The spin-covering  $\mathrm{Spin}_{X_A}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  in this case can be identified with the natural covering

$$\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbf{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}).$$

### 3. ACTION ON STABILITY SPACES

**3.1. Induced  $t$ -structures and stabilities.** We refer to [8] for notions related to stability conditions on triangulated categories. All  $t$ -structures considered below are assumed to be bounded and nondegenerate (see [3]). All stabilities are assumed to be locally finite and numerical.

We say that a  $t$ -structure (resp., a slicing or a stability condition) on  $D^b(A)$  is  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant, if it is invariant under any functor  $T_{(x,\xi)}$  with  $(x, \xi) \in A \times \hat{A}$  (see (2.1.3)), i.e., under translations and tensoring by  $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ . Note that by [29, Cor. 3.5.2], every full stability condition is  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant.

The general construction of the induced  $t$ -structures (resp., stability conditions) from [29] and [18] specializes to the following result on inducing  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant  $t$ -structures.

**Proposition 3.1.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be abelian varieties of the same dimension, and let  $\Phi : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(B)$  be the LI-functor associated with a Lagrangian correspondence  $(L, \alpha)$  from  $X_A$  to  $X_B$  such that the projections  $L \rightarrow X_A$  and  $L \rightarrow X_B$  are surjective, with the right adjoint functor  $\Phi' : D^b(B) \rightarrow D^b(A)$ . Also, let  $(D^{\leq 0}, D^{\geq 0})$  be an  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant*

$t$ -structure on  $D^b(A)$ . Then there is a unique  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant  $t$ -structure  $({}^\Phi D^{\leq 0}, {}^\Phi D^{\geq 0})$  on  $D^b(B)$ , such that

$$\Phi(D^{[a,b]}) \subset {}^\Phi D^{[a,b]} \quad (3.1.1)$$

It is given by

$${}^\Phi D^{[a,b]} = \{F \in D^b(B) \mid \Phi'(F) \in D^{[a,b]}\}. \quad (3.1.2)$$

Similarly, if  $(P(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant slicing on  $D^b(A)$  then there is a unique  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant slicing  $({}^\Phi P(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on  $D^b(B)$  such that  $\Phi(P(t)) \subset {}^\Phi P(t)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have  ${}^\Phi P(t) = (\Phi')^{-1}(P(t))$ .

*Proof.* First, we observe that by Proposition [31, Prop. 3.2.7],  $\Phi'$  differs by a shift from the LI-functor associated with the transposed correspondence  $(\sigma(L), \alpha^{-1})$ , where  $\sigma : X_A \times X_B \rightarrow X_B \times X_A$  is the permutation of factors. Hence, the same argument as in [31, Lem. 3.3.3] shows that both compositions  $\Phi' \circ \Phi$  and  $\Phi \circ \Phi'$  are obtained by consecutive extensions from functors  $T_{(x,\xi)}$ , one of which is the identity functor.

The fact that (3.1.2) defines a  $t$ -structure follows from [29, Thm. 2.1.2] once we check that in our situation  $\Phi' \circ \Phi$  is  $t$ -exact with respect to the original  $t$ -structure and  $(\Phi \circ \Phi')(F) = 0$  implies  $F = 0$ . Indeed, the former follows from  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariance of our  $t$ -structure. To check the latter property it suffices to consider the case when  $F$  is a coherent sheaf. We observe that the right adjoint functor to  $\Phi \circ \Phi'$  sends a structure sheaf of a point  $\mathcal{O}_x$  to a sheaf  $K_x$  supported on a finite number of points including  $x$ . Hence, if  $(\Phi \circ \Phi')(F) = 0$  then  $\text{Hom}(F, K_x) = 0$  for all  $x \in B$ , which implies that  $F = 0$ .

The inclusion (3.1.1) follows from the  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariance of the original  $t$ -structure and from the form of  $\Phi' \circ \Phi$ . The fact that the new  $t$ -structure is  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant follows from the  $\mathbf{H}$ -intertwining property of LI-functors (see (2.1.5) and [31, Lem. 3.2.4]). Now suppose  $({}^\Phi D_1^{\leq 0}, {}^\Phi D_1^{\geq 0})$  is another  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant  $t$ -structure on  $D^b(B)$  such that  $\Phi(D^{[a,b]}) \subset {}^\Phi D_1^{[a,b]}$ . Then applying [29, Thm. 2.1.2] again we deduce that

$$D_1^{[a,b]} = \{F \in D^b(A) \mid \Phi(F) \in {}^\Phi D_1^{[a,b]}\}$$

is a  $t$ -structure on  $D^b(A)$  such that  $D^{[a,b]} \subset D_1^{[a,b]}$ . Hence,  $D_1^{[a,b]} = D^{[a,b]}$  and we can rewrite (3.1.2) as

$${}^\Phi D^{[a,b]} = \{F \in D^b(B) \mid \Phi\Phi'(F) \in {}^\Phi D_1^{[a,b]}\},$$

which implies that  ${}^\Phi D_1^{[a,b]} \subset {}^\Phi D^{[a,b]}$ , so these  $t$ -structures are the same.

The result about slicings is proved analogously.  $\square$

Let  $\text{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  denote the space of  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant stability conditions on  $A$  (it is known to be nonempty for  $\dim A \leq 2$ ).

**Definition 3.1.2.** For  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  and a stability  $\sigma = (P(\cdot), Z) \in \text{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  we set

$$g(\sigma) = ({}^{\Phi_g} P(\cdot), Z \circ \hat{\rho}(g)^{-1}),$$

where  $\hat{\rho}(g)$  is given by (2.1.17). By Proposition 3.1.1, this defines an action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on  $\text{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$ , such that the central element  $1 \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  sends  $(P(\cdot), Z)$  to  $(P(\cdot)[1], -Z)$ .

The restriction of the above action to the preimage of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  is given by the standard action of the autoequivalence group of  $D^b(A)$  on  $\text{Stab}(A)$  (see [8]).

**Proposition 3.1.3.** *For every  $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  the corresponding transformation of  $\text{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  is an isometry with respect to the generalized metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  introduced in [8, Prop. 8.1].*

*Proof.* Note that the functor  $\Phi_g$  sends Harder-Narasimhan constituents of  $E$  with respect to  $\sigma$  to those of  $\Phi_g(E)$  with respect to  $g(\sigma)$ , and  $Z(\hat{\rho}(g)^{-1}(\Phi_g(E)))$  is a constant multiple (depending only on  $g$ ) of  $Z(E)$ . Hence,  $d(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \leq d(g(\sigma_1), g(\sigma_2))$ . Applying the same inequality to  $g^{-1}$  and the pair  $(g(\sigma_1), g(\sigma_2))$  we deduce that it is in fact an equality.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.1.4.** *Any LI-object in  $D^b(A)$  is semistable with respect to any full stability.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be an  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariant object in  $D^b(A)$ , where  $(L, \alpha)$  is a Lagrangian pair (with  $L \subset X_A$ ), and let  $\sigma = (P(\cdot), Z)$  be a full stability. We can assume that  $Z$  takes values in  $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Indeed, the set of such stabilities is dense in the connected component containing  $\sigma$ , and the semistability of  $E$  is a closed condition on  $\sigma$ . Then for a dense set of real numbers  $t$  (namely, those with  $\tan(\pi t) \in \mathbb{Q}$ ) the abelian category  $P((t, t+1])$  is Noetherian (see [1, Prop. 5.0.1]). Applying the construction of [29] we obtain for each such  $t$  the associated *constant family* of  $t$ -structures over any base  $S$ , which is a certain  $t$ -structure on  $D^b(A \times S)$ , local over  $S$  and such that its heart contains the pull-back of  $P((t, t+1])$  with respect to the projection  $p_1 : A \times S \rightarrow A$ . Let us take as a base  $S = L$  and consider the functor

$$T_{(L, \alpha)} : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(A \times L)$$

that associates with  $F \in D^b(A)$  the natural family of objects  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $L \times A$  such that the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\{l\} \times A$  is  $\alpha_l \otimes T_l(F)$  for  $l \in L$  ( $\mathcal{F}$  is obtained from  $F$  by taking the pull-back with respect to the map  $L \times A \rightarrow A : (l, x) \mapsto p_A(l) + x$  and then tensoring the result with a certain line bundle). Since our stability is  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant (by [29, Cor. 3.5.2]), this functor is easily seen to be  $t$ -exact, i.e., it sends  $P((t, t+1])$  to the heart of the corresponding constant  $t$ -structure on  $D^b(A \times L)$ . By definition,  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariance structure on  $E$  is an isomorphism

$$T_{(L, \alpha)}(E) \simeq p_1^* E.$$

Since both sides are  $t$ -exact functors of  $E$ , we deduce that the truncations of  $E$  with respect to our  $t$ -structure are still  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariant. Applying this for an appropriate set of phases  $t$  we derive that all Harder-Narasimhan constituents of  $E$  are  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariant. Let  $E_0$  be one of them. Suppose  $E_0$  has cohomological range  $[a, b]$  with respect to the standard  $t$ -structure. Then  $H^b E_0$  and  $H^a E_0$  are still  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariant, so we have a nonzero morphism  $H^b E_0 \rightarrow H^a E_0$  (see [31, Thm. 2.4.5]), which gives rise to a nonzero morphism

$$E_0[b] \rightarrow H^b E_0 \rightarrow H^a E_0 \rightarrow E_0[a].$$

By semistability of  $E_0$  we should have  $b \leq a$ , i.e.,  $E_0$  is cohomologically pure. Since  $E_0$  is a direct sum of several copies of the generator  $S_{L, \alpha}$ , it follows that  $S_{L, \alpha}$  is also semistable.  $\square$

**3.2.  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$ .** Recall that the action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  is transitive (see Prop. 1.4.3), so we have an identification

$$\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})/\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R}). \quad (3.2.1)$$

We have a natural lifting of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  to a closed subgroup of  $U^\Delta$  (see Lemma 2.3.3). Therefore, the homogeneous space  $U^\Delta/\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$ . Below we will describe this  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering explicitly using the homogeneous coordinates  $(x : y)$  on  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  (see Sec. 1.4).

Namely, with every  $L = (x : y) \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  we associate a holomorphic function on  $D_A$ , defined up to rescaling by a positive constant,

$$\delta(L)(\omega) = \deg(\hat{y} - \hat{x}\omega) = \deg(\omega x - y) \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0},$$

where  $\omega \in D_A$ . Note that if we change  $(x : y)$  to  $(x\alpha : y\alpha)$  then this function gets multiplied by  $\deg(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . It is easy to see that for  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  one has

$$\delta(g(0 : \phi_0)) = \Delta(g^{-1}) \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad (3.2.2)$$

where  $\phi_0 : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is a polarization and  $\Delta$  is the 1-cocycle of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  with values in  $\mathcal{O}^*(D_A)$  defined in Section 2.3. In particular,  $\delta(L)(\omega) \neq 0$  for all  $\omega \in D_A$ .

**Lemma 3.2.1.** *For  $L \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  one has*

$$\delta(gL)(g(\omega)) = \delta(L)(\omega) \cdot \Delta(g^{-1})(g(\omega)) = \delta(L)(\omega) \cdot \Delta(g)(\omega)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Pick  $g' \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $L = g'(0 : \phi_0)$ . Then use (3.2.2) and the cocycle condition for  $\Delta$ .  $\square$

Note also that if we have a Lagrangian subvariety  $L \subset A \times \hat{A}$  then viewing  $L$  as a point in  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  we have

$$\delta(L)(\omega) = \deg(\omega p_1 - p_2) \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad (3.2.3)$$

where  $p_1 : L \rightarrow A$  and  $p_2 : L \rightarrow \hat{A}$  are the projections, and we use the polynomial function  $\deg : \text{Hom}(L, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 3.2.2.** We define the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering  $p : \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  by setting

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})} = \{(L, f) \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R}) \times (\mathcal{O}(D_A)/i\mathbb{R}) \mid \delta(L) = \exp(2\pi i f) \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}.$$

We also set

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})} := p^{-1}(\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})) \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

When we need to stress the dependence on  $A$  we write  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})}$  (resp.,  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})}$ ). We have an action of  $U^\Delta$  on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})}$  given by

$$(g, f_g) \cdot (L, f_L) = (gL, f_L(g^{-1}(\omega)) + f_g(g^{-1}(\omega))).$$

The fact that this action is well defined follows from Lemma 3.2.1.

**Proposition 3.2.3.** (i) *There exists a unique bijection*

$$\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) : F \mapsto \widetilde{L}_F, \quad (3.2.4)$$

*lifting the natural projection  $F \mapsto L_F$  to  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$ , sending  $\mathcal{O}_x$  to  $((0 : \phi_0), 0) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  (where  $\phi_0 : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is a polarization), and  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant, where the action on  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  is induced by the embedding  $\iota : \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow U^\Delta$ .*

(ii) *Let  $V_\phi$  be the semihomogeneous vector bundle associated with  $\phi \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , so that  $L_{V_\phi} = \Gamma(\phi)$  (see (2.1.4)). Then*

$$\widetilde{L}_{V_\phi} = (\Gamma(\phi), (2\pi i)^{-1} \cdot \log(\deg(\omega - \phi)) \bmod i\mathbb{R}), \quad (3.2.5)$$

*where the branch of  $\log(\deg(\cdot))$  is normalized by  $\text{Im} \log(\deg(iH)) = \text{Arg}(\deg(iH)) = -g\pi$  for ample  $H$ .*

*Proof.* (i) First, let us compute the stabilizer subgroup  $\text{St} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  of the class of  $\mathcal{O}_x$  in  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^*$ . By considering the action on the corresponding Lagrangian we see that  $\text{St}$  is a certain lifting of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ . From the explicit form of the functors  $\Phi_t$  for  $t \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  (see Prop. 2.2.1(ii)) we see that these functors preserve  $\mathcal{O}_x$  up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence and  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . Therefore,  $\text{St}$  is the lifting of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  described in Corollary 2.2.2. By Lemma 2.3.3,  $\iota(\text{St})$  is exactly the stabilizer of the point  $((0 : \phi_0), 0) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Hence, there is a well-defined  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant map (3.2.4). Since this is a map of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsors over  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  (see Prop. 2.1.2), it is a bijection.

(ii) Assume first that  $\phi$  is non-degenerate, i.e.,  $\phi \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$ . Consider the element  $g_{\phi^{-1}}^+ \in \mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  as in Proposition 2.2.1(i). Then

$$g_{\phi^{-1}}^+(0 : \phi_0) = (\phi^{-1}\phi_0 : \phi_0) = (1 : \phi) = \Gamma(\phi).$$

By Proposition 2.2.1(i), under the canonical lifting of  $\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  the lifting of  $g_{\phi^{-1}}^+$  corresponds to the kernel  $S(g_{\phi^{-1}}^+)[i(\phi)]$  (note that  $i(\phi^{-1}) = i(\phi)$ ). On the other hand, its canonical lifting to  $U^\Delta$  is

$$\widetilde{g} = (g_{\phi^{-1}}^+, -\log(\deg(1 + \phi^{-1}\omega))/2\pi i),$$

where we use the branch of  $\text{Arg} \deg(1 + \phi^{-1}\omega)$  that tends to 0 as  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  (see Lemma 2.3.4). By the  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariance of the map (3.2.4), we obtain that the object  $V_\phi[i(\phi)]$  is mapped under this map to

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{g} \cdot ((0 : \phi_0), 0) &= (\Gamma(\phi), \log(\deg(1 - \phi^{-1}\omega))/2\pi i \bmod i\mathbb{R}) = \\ &= (\Gamma(\phi), (2\pi i)^{-1} \cdot \log(\deg(\omega - \phi)) \bmod i\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

with the same choice of the argument as above. Recall that if we choose the branch of  $\text{Arg} \deg(\omega - \phi)$  in such a way that  $\text{Arg} \deg(inH - \phi)$  will be  $\pi g$  then we will obtain

$$\text{Arg} \deg(-\phi) = 2\pi i(-\phi) = 2\pi(g - i(\phi))$$

(see Corollary 1.2.2). Subtracting  $2\pi g$  we get the branch that gives the limit  $-2\pi i(\phi)$  as  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  which is exactly what we get for the image of  $V_\phi$ . This proves the required

statement in the case when  $\phi \in \widetilde{\text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})}$ . The general case follows by using the action of the subgroup  $\mathbf{N}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  (see Ex. 2.1.3). Indeed, this action changes both sides (3.2.5) by adding to  $\phi$  an arbitrary element of  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

Recall that we can view  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  as an open subset of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  via the map  $\phi \mapsto (1 : \phi) = \Gamma(\phi)$ . Part (ii) of the above Proposition implies that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\phi \mapsto V_\phi} & \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbf{N}^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is (3.2.4) and the bottom arrow is the continuous section of the projection  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  over  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R}) : \phi \mapsto (\Gamma(\phi), f_\phi), \quad (3.2.6)$$

where  $f_\phi \in \mathcal{O}(D_A) \bmod i\mathbb{R}$  is the branch of  $(2\pi i)^{-1} \cdot \log(\deg(\omega - \phi)) \bmod i\mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_\phi(inH) = -g/2$$

for any ample  $H$ .

**Definition 3.2.4.** We define the double covering  $p^{\text{spin}} : \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  by setting  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) = \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R})/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Explicitly,

$$\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) = \{(L, \varphi) \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R}) \times (\mathcal{O}(D_A)/\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \mid \delta(L) = \varphi^2 \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}.$$

We also set  $LG^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q}) = (p^{\text{spin}})^{-1}(\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}))$ .

The isomorphism  $\text{Spin}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq U^\Delta/2\mathbb{Z}$  induces a transitive action of  $\text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R})$  (and of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}}$  on  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q})$ ).

We also have a natural  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ -equivariant map

$$\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbf{N}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}_{>0} : F \mapsto [F] \bmod \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$$

(see (2.4.8)), which we can view as a map from  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  using the bijection (3.2.4). The equivariance of this map with respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action implies that it factors through  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q})$ . Furthermore, we claim that it extends to a continuous  $\text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant map

$$\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}_{>0} \quad (3.2.7)$$



such that we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}_{>0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}_{>0} \end{array}$$

Indeed, we can define (3.2.7) by sending  $\tilde{g}((0 : \phi_0), 1)$  to  $\tilde{g}[\mathcal{O}_x] \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  for  $\tilde{g} \in \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ . To check that this map is well defined we observe that  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  are dense (with respect to the classical topology) in the stabilizer  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  of the point  $((0 : \phi_0), 1) \in \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}}$  leaves the class  $[\mathcal{O}_x] \in \mathcal{SN}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  invariant, this proves our claim.

**Lemma 3.2.5.** *The section (3.2.6) induces a section*

$$\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) \quad (3.2.8)$$

which sends  $\phi \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  to  $(\Gamma(\phi), \chi(\phi - \omega) \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\chi(\phi - \omega)^2 = \deg(\phi - \omega) = \deg(\omega - \phi)$ , this follows from the fact that the argument of  $\chi(\phi - inH)$  tends to  $-g\pi/2 \bmod 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.2.6.** In the case when  $A = E^n$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication we can identify  $\text{End}(A)$  with the algebra of  $n \times n$ -matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\text{NS}(A)$  with symmetric matrices. Note that for  $M \in \text{End}(A)$  we have  $\deg(M) = \det(M)^2$  and for  $\phi \in \text{NS}(A)$  we have  $\chi(\phi) = \det(\phi)$ . In a coordinate-free notation, if  $A = E \otimes \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $n$ , then elements of  $\text{NS}(A)$  can be viewed as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued symmetric bilinear forms on  $\Lambda$ , and the function  $\chi$  is given by the discriminant. The group  $\mathbf{U}$  in this case is the symplectic group  $\text{Sp}_{2n}$  and the variety  $\mathbf{LG}_A$  is the Lagrangian Grassmannian associated with the  $2n$ -dimensional symplectic vector space. Also,  $D_A$  is the Siegel upper half-plane  $\mathfrak{H}_n$  and the covering  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}$  corresponds to a choice of argument of  $Z \mapsto \det(A + BZ)^2$ , where  $Z \in \mathfrak{H}_n$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . Thus,  $U^\Delta$  contains the universal covering  $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  of  $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  as a subgroup of index 2 (cf. [23, Ex. 4.15]). Now let us consider our lifting of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  to  $U^\Delta$ . It is easy to check that the restriction of the projection to  $U^\Delta/\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \{\pm 1\}$  to  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  can be identified with the homomorphism  $A \mapsto \text{sign det}(A)$ . It follows that  $U^\Delta = \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cdot \mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R}) \cap \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  is the semidirect product of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}^-(\mathbb{R})}$  and of  $\text{GL}^+(n, \mathbb{R})$  (matrices with positive determinant). Hence, we can identify  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  with the quotient of  $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  by a connected subgroup, so  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  is simply connected. It follows that in this case  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  is the universal covering of the Lagrangian Grassmannian  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$ .

**3.3. Phase function.** Since  $\Delta : \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*(D_A)$  is a 1-cocycle (see Lemma 2.3.1), it defines a natural action of the group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  (by holomorphic automorphisms) on the trivial  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle over  $D_A$ . We have constructed the central extension  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$  in such

a way that  $\Delta$  lifts to a 1-cocycle of  $U^\Delta$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}(D_A)$ . In other words, we obtain the action of  $U^\Delta$  on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  (respecting the structure of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -space), which we view as a universal covering of  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}^*$  (in Sec. 3.4 we will relate this covering to the Bridgeland's stability space in the case  $\dim A = 2$ ). Explicitly, this action is given by

$$(g, f) \cdot (\omega, z) = (g(\omega), z - f(\omega)), \quad (3.3.1)$$

where  $(g, f) \in U^\Delta$  and  $(\omega, z) \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ .

On the other hand, we have a transitive action of  $U^\Delta$  on the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})}$  of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$ . By definition of this  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering, we have a continuous function

$$\mathbf{f}_0 : D_A \times \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (\omega, (L, f_L)) \mapsto \operatorname{Re} f_L(\omega).$$

We can extend it to a continuous function on  $(D_A \times \mathbb{C}) \times \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})}$  setting

$$\mathbf{f}((\omega, z), \tilde{L}) = \operatorname{Re}(z) + \mathbf{f}_0(\omega, \tilde{L}),$$

where  $\tilde{L} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.1.** *The function  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $U^\Delta$ -invariant, i.e., for  $\tilde{g} \in U^\Delta$  and  $(\sigma, \tilde{L}) \in (D_A \times \mathbb{C}) \times \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})}$  one has*

$$\mathbf{f}(\tilde{g}(\sigma), \tilde{g}(\tilde{L})) = \mathbf{f}(\sigma, \tilde{L}).$$

The proof is straightforward.

Now using the map  $F \mapsto \tilde{L}_F$  of Proposition 3.2.3, we define the *phase function*

$$(D_A \times \mathbb{C}) \times \overline{\mathbf{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (\sigma, \tilde{L}) \mapsto \phi_\sigma(F) := \mathbf{f}(\sigma, \tilde{L}_F), \quad (3.3.2)$$

where  $\sigma \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ . Note that we have

$$\phi_{(\omega, z)}(F) = \phi_{(\omega, 0)}(F) + \operatorname{Re}(z). \quad (3.3.3)$$

In Sec. 3.4 we will show that in the surface case the function  $\phi_\sigma$  gives the phases of LI-objects with respect to the Bridgeland's stability condition on  $D^b(A)$  associated with  $\sigma \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ . In the following theorem we check some of the properties of  $\phi_\sigma$  that conform with the conjecture that the corresponding stability condition exists in the higher-dimensional case as well.

**Theorem 3.3.2.** *The phase function  $\phi_\sigma(F)$  satisfies the following properties.*

(i) *This function is  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ -invariant, i.e.,*

$$\phi_{\tilde{g}(\sigma)}(\tilde{g}(F)) = \phi_\sigma(F),$$

*where the action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  is induced by (3.3.1) via the homomorphism  $\iota : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow U^\Delta$ . In particular, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$\phi_\sigma(F[n]) = \phi_\sigma(F) + n.$$

(ii) *For  $\sigma = (\omega, z)$  and  $F \in \overline{\mathbf{SH}}^{LI}(A)$  one has*

$$\exp(\pi iz) \cdot \chi(\ell(\omega), [F]) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cdot \exp(\pi i \phi_\sigma(F)), \quad (3.3.4)$$

*where  $[F] \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  is the numerical class of  $F$ .*

(iii) For a semihomogeneous vector bundle  $V_\phi$  associated with  $\phi \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  one has

$$\phi_{(\omega, z)}(V_\phi) = \text{Re}(z) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Arg}(\deg(\omega - \phi)),$$

where the branch of  $\text{Arg}(\deg(\cdot))$  is normalized by  $\text{Arg}(\deg(iH)) = -g\pi$  for ample  $H$ .

(iv) for a pair of LI-objects  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  such that the corresponding Lagrangians  $L_{F_1}$  and  $L_{F_2}$  in  $A \times \hat{A}$  are transversal one has

$$\phi_\sigma(F_1) \leq \phi_\sigma(F_2) + i(F_1, F_2), \quad (3.3.5)$$

where  $i(F_1, F_2)$  is the index of the pair  $(F_1, F_2)$ , i.e., the number such that  $\text{Ext}^i(F_1, F_2) = 0$  for  $i \neq i(F_1, F_2)$  (it exists by [31, Cor. 3.2.12]).

*Proof.* (i) The invariance follows from Lemma 3.3.1. The second assertion follows from this:

$$\phi_{(\omega, z)}(F) = \phi_{(1, n) \cdot (\omega, z)}(F[n]) = \phi_{(\omega, z-n)}(F[n]) = \phi_{(\omega, z)}(F[n]) - n,$$

where in the last equality we used (3.3.3).

(ii) By part (i), the right-hand side of (3.3.4) is invariant under the diagonal action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on  $(\sigma, F)$ . We claim that the same is true for the left-hand side (modulo  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ). Indeed, by Corollary 2.5.6, for  $\tilde{g} = (g, f_g) \in U^\Delta$  we have

$$\chi(\ell(\omega), [F]) = \exp(-\pi i f_g(\omega)) \cdot \chi(\ell(g(\omega)), [\tilde{g}(F)]) \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

(recall that the map  $F \mapsto [F] \bmod \mathbb{N}^*$  is compatible with the projection  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  sending  $(g, f_g) \in U^\Delta$  to  $(g, \exp(-\pi i f_g))$ , see (2.3.3)). This immediately implies that the left-hand side of (3.3.4) is invariant modulo  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  with respect to the diagonal action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on the pair  $(\sigma, F) \in (D_A \times \mathbb{C}) \times \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^*$ .

Thus, it is enough to check the equality for  $F = \mathcal{O}_x$ . We have  $\chi(\ell(\omega), [\mathcal{O}_x]) = 1$  for all  $\omega$ . On the other hand, by definition of the map of Proposition 3.2.3,  $\mathbf{f}_0(\omega, \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{O}_x}) = 0$ , so  $\phi_{(\omega, z)}(\mathcal{O}_x) = \text{Re}(z)$ .

(iii) This follows from Proposition 3.2.3(ii).

(iv) By  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ -invariance of both parts with respect to the diagonal action on the pair  $(F_1, F_2)$ , it is enough to consider the case when  $F_2 = \mathcal{O}_x$ . Note that in this case the transversality assumption implies that  $L_{F_1} = \Gamma(\phi)$  for  $\phi \in \text{NS}(A)_\mathbb{Q}$ , so  $F_1 = V_\phi[n]$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $V_\phi$  is the simple semihomogeneous bundle associated with  $\phi$ . Since  $\phi_{(\omega, z)}(\mathcal{O}_x) = \text{Re}(z)$ , by part (iii), the required inequality is equivalent to

$$\text{Arg}(\deg(\omega - \phi)) \leq 0,$$

where  $\text{Arg}(\deg(\cdot))$  is normalized by  $\text{Arg}(\deg(iH)) = -g\pi$ . But this follows immediately from Lemma 1.2.3(ii).  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.3.** By Lemma 1.2.3(i), for  $F_1 = \mathcal{O}$ ,  $F_2 = V_\phi$  and  $\sigma = (iH, 0)$ , where  $H$  is an ample class, the inequality (3.3.5) can be replaced by a stronger one:

$$\phi_{iH, 0}(\mathcal{O}) < \phi_{iH, 0}(V_\phi) + \frac{i(\phi)}{2}.$$

However, this inequality is not invariant with respect to the group action considered above, so it cannot be extended to the case of arbitrary  $\sigma \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ .

The following property is also motivated by the picture with the stability conditions for  $\dim A = 2$  (see Sec. 3.4 below).

**Proposition 3.3.4.** *The fibers of the map*

$$Z : D_A \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{N}(A), \mathbb{C}) : (\omega, z) \mapsto \exp(\pi iz) \chi(\ell(\omega), \cdot)$$

*are exactly the orbits of the action of  $2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}$  by translations on the second factor.*

*Proof.* Suppose

$$\exp(\pi iz) \chi(\ell(\omega), [F]) = \exp(\pi iz') \chi(\ell(\omega'), [F])$$

for all  $F$ . Since  $\chi(\ell(\cdot), [\mathcal{O}_x]) = 1$ , this implies that  $\exp(\pi iz) = \exp(\pi iz')$ . Using the action of  $2\mathbb{Z}$  we can assume that  $z = z'$ . Now the fact that  $\omega = \omega'$  follows from Corollary 1.1.2.  $\square$

**Example 3.3.5.** Recall that the standard stability condition on an elliptic curve has  $Z(F) = -\deg(F) + i \text{rk}(F)$  and semistable objects that are shifts of semistable bundles and torsion sheaves. The corresponding phase function  $\phi^{st}$  satisfies

$$\phi^{st}(F) = \phi_{(i,0)}(F) + 1$$

for any semistable  $F$ . Indeed, this follows from the formulas

$$\phi^{st}(\mathcal{O}_x) = 1, \quad \phi^{st}(V_{d/r}) = \frac{\text{Arg}(i - d/r)}{\pi},$$

where  $V_{d/r}$  is the simple bundle of degree  $d$  and rank  $r$  and we normalize the argument in the upper half-plane by  $\text{Arg}(i) = 1/2$ .

**3.4. Stability conditions on abelian surfaces.** In this section, assuming that  $\dim A = 2$  we will identify the action of  $\iota(\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}) \subset U^\Delta$  on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  with the natural action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  on the component  $\text{Stab}^\dagger$  of Bridgeland's stability space  $\text{Stab}(A)$  of  $D^b(A)$  described in [9, Sec. 15].

Recall that the stability space  $\text{Stab}(A)$  carries a natural continuous action of the group  $\widetilde{\text{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ , the universal cover of  $\text{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ , that can be described as the set of pairs  $(T, f)$ , where  $T \in \text{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an increasing map with  $f(t+1) = f(t) + 1$  such that the map induced by  $T$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} / \mathbb{R}_{>0} \simeq \mathbb{R} / 2\mathbb{Z}$  coincides with  $f \bmod 2\mathbb{Z}$ . We use the left action of  $\widetilde{\text{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  on  $\text{Stab}(A)$ : a pair  $(T, f)$  maps a stability condition  $(Z, \mathcal{P})$  to the stability  $(T \circ Z, \mathcal{P}')$ , where  $\mathcal{P}'(t) = \mathcal{P}(f^{-1}(t))$ . Note that  $n \mapsto ((-1)^n, t \mapsto t+n)$  gives an embedding  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $2\mathbb{Z}$  is the kernel of the projection to  $\text{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

Recall that for each  $\omega = i\alpha + \beta \in D_A$  Bridgeland defined a stability condition on  $D^b(A)$  with the central charge

$$Z_\omega(F) = -\chi(\ell(\omega), [F])$$

and with each  $\mathcal{O}_x$  stable of phase 1. This defines a submanifold  $V(A) \subset \text{Stab}(A)$ , isomorphic to  $D_A$ , which is a section of the action of  $\widetilde{\text{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  on a connected component  $\text{Stab}^\dagger(A) \subset \text{Stab}(A)$ , so that we have an isomorphism

$$V(A) \times \widetilde{\text{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \text{Stab}^\dagger(A) \tag{3.4.1}$$

(see [9, Sec. 11, 15])<sup>2</sup>.

We have a natural embedding  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  and the corresponding homomorphism of universal coverings  $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  (where we use the map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* : z \mapsto \exp(\pi i z)$ ). Hence, from the isomorphism (3.4.1) we obtain an embedding

$$D_A \times \mathbb{C} \simeq V(A) \times \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A). \quad (3.4.2)$$

Note that the central charge corresponding to a point  $(\omega, z) \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  is

$$Z_{(\omega, z)}(F) = -\exp(\pi i z) \chi(\ell(\omega), [F]),$$

and the phase of  $\mathcal{O}_x$  with respect to this stability is

$$\phi_{(\omega, z)}^{Br}(\mathcal{O}_x) = 1 + \mathrm{Re}(z) \quad (3.4.3)$$

Recall that the non-empty fibers of the projection

$$\mathcal{Z} : \mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{N}(A), \mathbb{C})$$

are exactly the orbits of the action of  $2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C} \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  (see [9, Thm. 15.2]). Hence, we have

$$V(A) \times \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}(V(A) \times \mathbb{C})). \quad (3.4.4)$$

The image  $\mathcal{Z}(V(A) \times \mathbb{C})$  coincides with  $\mathbb{C}^* \cdot \ell(D_A) \subset \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , where we identify  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{N}(A), \mathbb{C})$  using  $\chi(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

Recall that we have an action of the group  $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on  $\mathrm{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  defined using functors  $\Phi_g$  (see Def. 3.1.2). Also, note that by [29, Cor. 3.5.2], we have an inclusion  $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A) \subset \mathrm{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  since all stabilities in  $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A)$  are full.

**Proposition 3.4.1.** *The subset  $V(A) \times \mathbb{C} \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)}$  is invariant with respect to the action of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  and the induced action of  $\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $V(A) \times \mathbb{C} \simeq D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  is exactly (3.3.1).*

*Proof.* First, let us look at the action on central charges. Applying Corollary 2.5.6 to the element  $\widetilde{g} = (g, \exp(-\pi i f)) \in \mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  coming from an element  $(g, f) = \iota(g') \in U^\Delta$  where  $g' \in \mathrm{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ , we get

$$Z_{(\omega, z)}(\hat{\rho}(g')^{-1}F) = Z_{(\omega, z)}(\hat{\rho}(\widetilde{g})^{-1}[F]) = Z_{\iota(g') \cdot (\omega, z)}(F).$$

(see (3.3.1)). In particular, the transformed central charge is still in  $\mathbb{C}^* \cdot \ell(D_A)$ . Recall that the connected component  $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A)$  is characterized by the condition that the central charge is in the  $\mathrm{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ -orbit of  $\ell(D_A)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_x$  are stable of the same phase for all  $x \in A$ . Furthermore, by [9, Lem. 12.2], it is enough to require all  $\mathcal{O}_x$  to be semistable of the same phase (due to the absence of spherical objects—see [9, Lem. 15.1]). In our case the condition on the central charge is satisfied by the above computation, and the semistability of  $\mathcal{O}_x$  follows from Proposition 3.1.4, so we get the inclusion  $g'(V(A) \times \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{Stab}^\dagger$ . Taking into account (3.4.4) we derive the required inclusion

$$g'(V(A) \times \mathbb{C}) \subset V(A) \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{Stab}^\dagger.$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Conjecturally,  $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A) = \mathrm{Stab}(A)$ .

Furthermore, we obtain that the action of  $g' \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  differs from the action (3.3.1) by the translation by an element in  $2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Thus, the difference between the two action is given by a homomorphism  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that the element  $1 \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  acts on a stability in  $\text{Stab}(A)$  by changing the central charge  $Z$  to  $-Z$  and adding  $-1$  to all the phases. Since this matches with its action on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  given by (3.3.1), the above homomorphism factors through a homomorphism  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Next, we observe that the action of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  preserves the phase of  $\mathcal{O}_x$  (see the proof of Prop. 3.2.3(i)). On the other hand,  $\iota(\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})) \subset U^\Delta$  consists of elements  $(g, f)$  with  $\text{Re}(f) = 0$  (see Lemma 2.3.3), so taking into account the formula (3.4.3) we deduce that the homomorphism  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$  is trivial on  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$ . It remains to apply Lemma 1.3.5.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.2.** *There is a transitive continuous action of  $U^\Delta \times \widetilde{\text{GL}}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\text{Stab}^\dagger(A)$ , extending the action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})}$  (coming from autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$ ) and the standard action of  $\widetilde{\text{GL}}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the identification (3.4.1) and from the transitivity of the action of  $U^\Delta$  on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ . Note that our action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on  $\text{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  extends the standard action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})}$  by autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.3.** *For any  $\sigma = (\omega, z) \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  and any LI-object  $F \in D^b(A)$ , let  $\phi_\sigma^{\text{Br}}(F)$  be the phase of  $F$  with respect to the corresponding Bridgeland's stability condition. Then*

$$\phi_\sigma^{\text{Br}}(F) = \phi_\sigma(F) + 1,$$

*where the function  $\phi_\sigma$  is given by (3.3.2).*

*Proof.* The assertion is true for  $F = \mathcal{O}_x$ . Also Theorem 3.3.2(i) together with Proposition 3.4.1 imply that both sides are invariant with respect to the action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on the pair  $(\sigma, F)$ . It remains to use transitivity of the action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^*$ .  $\square$

**3.5. Mirror symmetry and phases.** In the case when  $A = E^n$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, we can interpret the phase function of Sec. 3.3 in terms of the Fukaya category of the mirror dual abelian variety.

Let  $E = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $n$ . We set

$$A = \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}/(\Lambda \otimes (\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})) \simeq E^n,$$

so that we have a natural isomorphism

$$\Gamma_A := H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \Lambda \oplus \Lambda,$$

where the second summand corresponds to  $\Lambda \otimes \tau$ . The natural polarization of  $E$  given by the hermitian form  $H_\tau(z_1, z_2) = \frac{z_1 \overline{z_2}}{\text{Im } \tau}$  induces an isomorphism

$$\hat{A} \simeq \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C}/(\Lambda^* \otimes (\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})),$$

where  $\Lambda^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Assuming that  $E$  has no complex multiplication we obtain identifications  $\text{End}(A) \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$ ,  $\text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \Lambda^*)$ , and  $\text{NS}(A) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \Lambda^*)^+$  (the latter group consists of symmetric homomorphisms). Thus, for a field  $F \supset \mathbb{Q}$  we can identify  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes F$  with the space of symmetric bilinear forms on  $\Lambda \otimes F$ . The ample cone in  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  consists of positive-definite forms. Thus,  $D_A \subset \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  is the Siegel's half-space consisting of symmetric bilinear forms on  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}$  with positive-definite imaginary part.

According to [13] (see also [27, Sec. 6.5]), one can view the abelian variety  $B$  associated with an element  $\omega = \omega_A \in D_A \simeq \mathfrak{H}_n$  as a mirror dual to  $(A, \omega_A)$ . More precisely, let us set

$$\Gamma_B = \Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda, \quad B = \Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R} / \Gamma_B,$$

and define the complex structure on  $\Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R}$  via the isomorphism

$$\kappa_\omega : \Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} : (\lambda^*, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda^* - \omega(\lambda), \quad (3.5.1)$$

where we view  $\omega$  as an element of  $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C})^+$ . Note that there is an isomorphism  $B \simeq \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} / (\Lambda^* + \omega\Lambda)$  (however, the corresponding identification of  $H_1(B, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\Gamma_B$  differs from the original one by the sign on the summand  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma_B$ ). We have a natural principal polarization  $\phi_0 : B \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{B}$  given on homology lattices by

$$\Gamma_B \rightarrow \Gamma_B^* : (\lambda_0^*, \lambda_0) \mapsto ((\lambda^*, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda^*(\lambda_0) - \lambda_0^*(\lambda)). \quad (3.5.2)$$

Similarly, the natural isomorphism  $\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda^* \simeq \Gamma_{\hat{A}} \simeq \Gamma_A^*$  corresponds to the pairing

$$(\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda^*) \times \Gamma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} : ((\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) \mapsto \lambda_1^*(\lambda_2) - \lambda_2^*(\lambda_1).$$

Let us define an isomorphism of orthogonal lattices

$$\gamma : \Gamma_A \oplus \Gamma_{\hat{A}} \rightarrow \Gamma_B \oplus \Gamma_B \simeq \Gamma_B \oplus \Gamma_{\hat{B}} : (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*) \mapsto (\lambda_2^*, \lambda_2, \lambda_1^*, \lambda_1).$$

**Proposition 3.5.1.** *The isomorphism  $\gamma$  induces a mirror duality in the sense of [13, Sec. 9] between the pairs  $(A, \omega_A)$  and  $(B, \omega_B)$  for  $\omega_B = \tau \cdot \phi_0$ , where  $\phi_0 \in \text{Hom}(B, \hat{B})^+$  is the principal polarisation defined above.*

*Proof.* By definition, we have to check that the operator of complex structure on  $(\Gamma_B \oplus \Gamma_B) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  corresponds under  $\gamma$  to

$$I_{\omega_A} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1}\beta & -\alpha^{-1} \\ \alpha + \beta\alpha^{-1}\beta & -\beta\alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}_A(\mathbb{R}),$$

where  $\omega_A = i\alpha + \beta$ , and we view  $\mathbf{U}_A(\mathbb{R})$  as a subgroup in automorphisms of  $(\Gamma_A \oplus \Gamma_{\hat{A}}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ , and similarly, that the complex structure on  $(\Gamma_A \oplus \Gamma_{\hat{A}}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  corresponds to  $I_{\omega_B}$ . Both facts are checked by a straightforward computation (cf. [13, Prop. 9.6.1]).  $\square$

Recall that the variety  $\mathbf{LG}_A = \mathbf{LG}_{E^n}$  is naturally identified with the Lagrangian Grassmannian associated with the symplectic lattice  $\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda$ . Thus, a Lagrangian subvariety  $L \subset A \times \hat{A}$ , viewed as a point of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$ , corresponds to a Lagrangian  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule  $\Pi(L) \subset \Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda = \Gamma_B$ , so that  $\Gamma_L = H_1(L, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \Pi(L) \oplus \Pi(L) \subset \Gamma_A \oplus \Gamma_{\hat{A}}$ . Hence, from (3.2.3) we get

$$\delta(L)(\omega) = \det(\kappa_\omega|_{\Pi(L)})^2 \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad (3.5.3)$$

where we view  $\kappa_\omega|_{\Pi(L)}$  as an element in  $\text{Hom}(\Pi(L), \Lambda^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and define  $\det^2$  using some bases in  $\Pi(L)$  and  $\Lambda^*$ .

Similarly, a point  $L$  of  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  corresponds to a real Lagrangian subspace  $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L) \subset \Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and the formula (3.5.3) still holds (with  $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L)$  instead of  $\Pi(L)$ ). Recall that we have a double covering  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of pairs  $(L, \varphi) \in \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}(D_A)/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $\varphi^2 = \delta(L)$ , so that the group  $\text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R})$  (see Def. 3.2.4). In our case there is a splitting  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  (see Remark 2.3.8.1), so we have an action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R})$  given by

$$g \cdot (L, \varphi) = (gL, \varphi'), \text{ where } \varphi'(g(\omega)) = \varphi(\omega) \cdot \det(a + b\omega)^{-1}.$$

We claim that  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  is in fact the natural double covering corresponding to a choice of orientation on a Lagrangian subspace in  $\Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, let us fix an orientation  $\epsilon \in \bigwedge^n(\Lambda)$ . Then a choice of a square root  $\varphi = \sqrt{\delta(L)} \in \mathcal{O}^*(D_A)/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  for  $L \in \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  induces an orientation on  $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L) \subset \Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R}$  as follows. By formula (3.5.3), for each  $\omega$  the non-zero element

$$\varphi(\omega)^{-1} \cdot \det(\kappa_\omega|_{\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L)}) \in \bigwedge^n(\Lambda^*) \otimes \bigwedge^n(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L))^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C},$$

depending continuously on  $\omega$ , belongs to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -subspace  $\bigwedge^n(\Lambda^*) \otimes \bigwedge^n(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L))^{-1}$ . Thus, we get an isomorphism

$$\bigwedge^n(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L)) \simeq \bigwedge^n(\Lambda)^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

and we define the orientation  $\mu_{\varphi, \epsilon} \in \bigwedge^n(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L))$  so that it corresponds to  $\epsilon^{-1}$  under this isomorphism, i.e.,

$$\varphi(\omega)^{-1} \cdot \det(\kappa_\omega|_{\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L)}) \cdot \mu_{\varphi, \epsilon} = \epsilon^{-1}.$$

Let us associate with  $L \in \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  the real subtorus in  $B$  by setting

$$T_L = \Pi(L) \otimes \mathbb{R} / \Pi(L) \subset \Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R} / \Gamma_B = B.$$

Note that  $T_L$  is Lagrangian with respect to the translation-invariant symplectic structure on  $B$  corresponding to the standard symplectic structure on  $\Gamma_B = \Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda$  (i.e., this symplectic structure on  $B$  comes from the principal polarization  $\phi_0$ ). As we have shown above, a lifting of  $L$  to a point  $(L, \varphi) \in \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q})$  gives rise to an orientation of  $T_L$ .

Since  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q}) = \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , the map (3.2.4) induces a map

$$\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI} \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q}).$$

By Lemma 3.2.5, the composition

$$\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI} \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q}) : \phi \mapsto V_\phi \mapsto (\Gamma(\phi), \varphi)$$

corresponds to the choice of the square root  $\varphi(\omega) = \det(\phi - \omega)$ , where we use dual bases of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^*$  to compute the determinant (see also Ex. 3.2.6). The corresponding orientation on  $T_{\Gamma(\phi)}$  is induced by the isomorphism  $\Pi(\Gamma(\phi)) \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and the orientation  $\epsilon$  of  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\Omega_{\omega, \epsilon}$  denote the holomorphic volume form on  $B$  defined by

$$\Omega_{\omega, \epsilon} = \kappa_\omega^*(\epsilon),$$



where we view  $\epsilon \in \bigwedge^n(\Lambda) \subset \bigwedge^n(\Lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  as an  $n$ -form on  $\Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and use an isomorphism (3.5.1).

**Theorem 3.5.2.** *For an endosimple LI-object  $F \in D^b(A)$  one has*

$$\chi(\ell(\omega), [F]) = \int_{[T_L]} \Omega_{\omega, \epsilon} \quad (3.5.4)$$

where  $L = L_F$ , and  $T_L$  is equipped with the orientation  $\mu_{\varphi_F, \epsilon}$  coming from the point  $(L_F, \varphi_F) \in \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q})$  associated with  $F$ .

*Proof.* Note that shifting  $F$  by  $[1]$  changes the orientation of  $T_L$ , so the assertions for  $F$  and  $F[n]$  are equivalent.

First, let us prove (3.5.4) in the case when  $L_F$  is transversal to  $\{0\} \times \hat{A}$ , i.e., when  $F = V_\phi$  is the semihomogeneous bundle corresponding to  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \Lambda^*)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (and  $L_F = \Gamma(\phi) \subset A \times \hat{A}$ ). Recall that  $\text{rk } V_\phi = \deg(L_F \rightarrow A)^{1/2}$  (see (2.1.9)). For  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  let  $\Gamma_K(\phi) \subset (\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda) \otimes K$  be the graph of  $\phi$  viewed as a map of  $K$ -vector spaces (i.e.,  $\Gamma_K(\phi) = H_1(L_F, K)$ ) and set

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi) := \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}(\phi) \cap (\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda),$$

so that  $T_L = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(\phi) / \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi)$ . We also denote by  $i_\phi : \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi) \rightarrow \Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda$  the natural embedding. The orientation on  $T_L$  is induced by the natural isomorphism  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(\phi) \simeq \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and by the orientation of  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\epsilon$ . The cycle  $[T_L]$  in  $H_n(A) \simeq \Lambda^n(\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda)$  is the image of the positive generator  $\mu \in \bigwedge^n(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi))$  under the map

$$\bigwedge^n(i_\phi) : \bigwedge^n(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi)) \rightarrow \bigwedge^n(\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda).$$

Note also that the integration map

$$H_n(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \gamma \mapsto \int_\gamma \Omega_{\omega, \epsilon}$$

is identified with

$$\bigwedge^n(\kappa_\omega) : \bigwedge^n(\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda) \rightarrow \bigwedge^n(\Lambda^*) \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C},$$

where the last isomorphism is given by  $\epsilon$ . Hence,  $\int_{[T_L]} \Omega_{\omega, \epsilon} = \delta(\mu) \cdot \epsilon$ , where  $\delta \in \bigwedge^n(\Lambda^*) \otimes \bigwedge^n(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi))^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  is the determinant of the composition

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi) \xrightarrow{i_\phi} \Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda \xrightarrow{\kappa_\omega} \Lambda^*.$$

The projection  $p_2 : \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi) \rightarrow \Lambda$  is an embedding of index  $\deg(L_F \rightarrow A)^{1/2}$ , so the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_\omega i_\phi} & \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ p_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ \Lambda & \xrightarrow[\text{41}]{\phi - \omega} & \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

implies that

$$\delta(\mu) \cdot \epsilon = \det(\phi - \omega) \cdot \deg(L_F \rightarrow A)^{1/2} = \chi(\ell(\omega), \ell(\phi)) \cdot \text{rk}(F) = \chi(\ell(\omega), [F]),$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 2.5.2.

Next, we will check that (3.5.4) is compatible with the action of the group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $[F]$ ,  $\omega$  and on  $B$ , where we use the natural symplectic action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $\Gamma_B = \Lambda \oplus \Lambda^*$  and the splitting  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{spin}}$  of the spin-covering (see Remark 2.3.8.1). Namely, for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  the relation

$$(-\omega \quad \text{id}_{\Lambda^*}) \cdot g^{-1} = (a + b\omega)^* \cdot (-g(\omega) \quad \text{id}_{\Lambda^*})$$

leads to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_B & \xrightarrow{\kappa_\omega} & \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ g \downarrow & & \uparrow (a + b\omega)^* \\ \Gamma_B & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{g(\omega)}} & \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \end{array} \quad (3.5.5)$$

Hence, we have

$$g^* \Omega_{g(\omega), \epsilon} = \det(a + b\omega)^{-1} \cdot \Omega_{\omega, \epsilon},$$

which implies that

$$\int_{g[T_L]} \Omega_{g(\omega), \epsilon} = \det(a + b\omega)^{-1} \cdot \int_{[T_L]} \Omega_{\omega, \epsilon}.$$

Also, the diagram (3.5.5) gives the equation

$$\kappa_\omega|_{\Pi(L)} = (a + b\omega)^* \circ \kappa_{g(\omega)}|_{\Pi(gL)} \circ g|_{\Pi(L)} \quad (3.5.6)$$

in  $\text{Hom}(\Pi(L), \Lambda^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $g \cdot (L, \varphi) = (gL, \varphi')$ , where  $\varphi'(g(\omega)) = \varphi(\omega) \det(a + b\omega)^{-1}$ , passing to determinants in (3.5.6) we obtain that the orientation  $\mu_{\varphi', \epsilon}$  of  $\Pi(gL) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  corresponds to  $\mu_{\varphi, \epsilon}$  under the isomorphism  $\Pi(L) \rightarrow \Pi(gL)$  given by  $g$ . Hence, the class  $g[T_L]$  is exactly the fundamental class of  $T_{gL}$  associated with the orientation coming from  $g[F]$ . On the other hand, by Corollary 2.5.6,

$$\chi(\ell(\omega), [F]) = \chi(\ell(g(\omega)), g[F]),$$

since for  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  the operator  $\hat{\rho}(g)$  is simply the map induced by any autoequivalence of  $D^b(A)$  compatible with the canonical lifting of  $g$  to  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{spin}}$ .

Finally, applying Proposition 1.4.1 and using the  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariance, we see that the general case of (3.5.4) follows from the case when  $L_F$  is transversal to  $\{0\} \times \hat{A}$  considered above.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.3.** Note that since  $L_F$  is equipped with the lifting  $\tilde{L}_F$  to the universal covering of the Lagrangian Grassmannian (see Ex. 3.2.6), the Lagrangian torus  $T_L$  has a structure of a *graded Lagrangian* (see [33]). The corresponding choice of a phase of  $\int_{T_L}$  obtained from Theorems 3.3.2 and 3.5.2 comes from Kontsevich's description of a grading on a Lagrangian (see [33, Ex. 2.9]).

#### 4. QUASI-STANDARD $t$ -STRUCTURES AND FOURIER-MUKAI PARTNERS

**4.1. Quasi-standard  $t$ -structures.** The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  appears also naturally when considering  $t$ -structures. Let  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  be the set of  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant  $t$ -structures on  $D^b(A)$ . We identify  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  with the set of cores of such  $t$ -structures, so we view elements of  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  as abelian subcategories  $\mathcal{A} \subset D^b(A)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.1.** (i) *There is a natural  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant embedding*

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(A) : \tilde{L} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{L}},$$

*which is uniquely characterized by the condition*

$$\mathcal{A}_{(0:\phi_0),0} = \text{Coh}(A).$$

*The LI-functor  $\Phi_{\tilde{g}} : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(A)$  corresponding to  $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  (defined up to  $\mathbf{H}$ —see Sec. 2.1) satisfies*

$$\Phi_{\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{L}}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{g}\tilde{L}}.$$

(ii) *For an LI-object  $F$  and  $\tilde{L} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  one has  $F[-i(\tilde{L}_F, \tilde{L})] \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{L}}$ , where  $i(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the unique  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant function on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) \times \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  such that for  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  one has*

$$i(\tilde{L}_{V_{\phi_1}}, \tilde{L}_{V_{\phi_2}}) = i(\phi_2 - \phi_1),$$

*provided  $\phi_2 - \phi_1$  is nondegenerate (recall that  $\tilde{L}_{V_{\phi}}$  is given by (3.2.5)).*

*Proof.* (i) Recall that the action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  is transitive, and the stabilizer subgroup of the point  $((0 : \phi_0), 0)$  is  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$ , lifted to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  as described in Corollary 2.2.2. Thus, it suffices to check that  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  preserves the standard  $t$ -structure. But this immediately follows from the description of the functors corresponding to elements of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  (see Prop. 2.2.1).

(ii) The fact that every LI-sheaf is cohomologically pure with respect to each  $t$ -structure constructed in (i) follows from Theorem 2.4.1. Uniqueness of the  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant index function  $i(\cdot, \cdot)$  follows from Proposition 1.4.1. It remains to find the number  $i = i(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  such that

$$V_{\phi_1}[-i] \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{L}_{V_{\phi_2}}}.$$

Let  $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi_2^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then by formula (2.4.1), we have

$$\Phi_g(\mathcal{O}_x) = V_{\phi_2} \bmod \mathbb{N}^*$$

(there is no shift in this case since the kernel  $S(g)$  is a vector bundle). It follows that

$$\Phi_g(\text{Coh}(A)) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{L}_{V_{\phi_2}}}.$$

Note that  $\Gamma(\phi_1) = g(\Gamma(\phi))$ , where

$$\phi = \phi_1(1 - \phi_2^{-1}\phi_1)^{-1}.$$

Hence, using (2.4.1) and (2.4.3) we obtain

$$\Phi_g(V_\phi) = V_{\phi_1}[-i(\phi_2 + \phi)] \bmod \mathbb{N}^*,$$

so denoting  $\phi_3 = 1 - \phi_2^{-1}\phi_1$  we obtain

$$i = i(\phi_2 + \phi_1\phi_3^{-1}) = i(\phi_3(\phi_2\phi_3 + \phi_1)) = i(\phi_3\phi_2) = i(\phi_2 - \phi_1)$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**Definition 4.1.2.** We will refer to  $t$ -structures on  $D^b(A)$  constructed in the above theorem as *quasi-standard  $t$ -structures*.

**Proposition 4.1.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be abelian varieties, and let  $\eta : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  be a symplectic isomorphism in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (i.e., up to isogeny). Then the map  $\eta_* : \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}_B(\mathbb{Q})$  induced by  $\eta$  extends to a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant map*

$$\widetilde{\eta}_* : \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_B(\mathbb{Q})$$

*which is compatible with the quasi-standard  $t$ -structures, i.e., for every  $\widetilde{L} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  the LI-functor  $\Phi_\eta$  associated with  $\eta$  (defined up to  $\mathbf{H}$ ) satisfies*

$$\Phi_\eta(\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{L}}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{\eta}_*\widetilde{L}}. \quad (4.1.1)$$

*Proof.* Note that  $B$  is isogenous to  $A$ , i.e., there exists an isomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Let  $\eta_0 : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  be the induced symplectic isomorphism in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We also have natural compatible isomorphisms induced by  $f$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_{X_A} &\rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{X_B}, & \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{X_A}(\mathbb{Q}) &\rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{X_B}(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \eta_{0*} : \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q}) &\rightarrow \mathbf{LG}_B(\mathbb{Q}), & \widetilde{\eta}_{0*} : \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{Q}) &\rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_B(\mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it is easy to see that the  $t$ -exactness (4.1.1) holds for  $\widetilde{\eta}_{0*}$  and the functor  $\Phi_{\eta_0}$  which is the composition of the pull-back and the push-forward under isogenies (this is proved similarly to Prop. 2.2.1(ii)). Now let  $g_\eta \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  be the unique element such that

$$\eta = \eta_0 \circ g_\eta.$$

Choose any element  $\widetilde{g}_\eta \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  over  $g_\eta$  and define

$$\widetilde{\eta}_* : \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_B(\mathbb{Q}) : \widetilde{L} \mapsto \widetilde{\eta}_{0*}(\widetilde{g}_\eta(\widetilde{L})).$$

By Theorem 4.1.1(i), the required assertion follows for the functor  $\Phi_{\eta_0} \circ \Phi_{\widetilde{g}_\eta}$ . By [31, Thm. 3.2.11], its  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence class differs from  $\Phi_\eta[n]$  by an action of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  (one has to use also [31, Prop. 2.4.7(ii)] as in the proof of [31, Thm. 3.3.4]). Changing  $\widetilde{\eta}_*$  using the action of  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{Q})$ , we get the required compatibility (4.1.1).  $\square$

**Remarks 4.1.4.** 1. The quasi-standard  $t$ -structure associated with  $\widetilde{L}_F \in \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  has a simple characterization in terms of the LI-object  $F$  (defined up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence). Namely, the corresponding subcategory  $D^{\leq 0} \subset D^b(A)$  consists of all  $X \in D^b(A)$  such that  $\mathrm{Hom}^i(X, T_{x,\xi}(F)) = 0$  for  $i < 0$  and all  $(x, \xi) \in A \times \hat{A}$ . Indeed, using  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -action this

reduces to the characterization of the standard subcategory  $D^{\leq 0}$  by the above condition, where  $F$  is a nonzero torsion sheaf.

2. In the case of an elliptic curve all the quasi-standard  $t$ -structures are obtained from the standard one by tilting (up to a shift). More precisely, let  $P(\cdot)$  be the slicing associated with the standard stability on  $D^b(E)$  for an elliptic curve  $E$ , so that  $P((0, 1]) = \text{Coh}(E)$  (see Ex. 3.3.5). Then the quasi-standard  $t$ -structure associated with  $\phi \in \text{NS}(E, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}$  (lifted to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_E(\mathbb{Q})$  by (3.2.6)) is  $P((\frac{\text{Arg}(i-\phi)}{\pi} - 1, \frac{\text{Arg}(i-\phi)}{\pi}])$ . Note that this construction extends to irrational numbers  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$  and for  $k = \mathbb{C}$  the corresponding hearts are equivalent to the categories of holomorphic bundles on noncommutative 2-tori (see [32], [28]). We conjecture that this connection between quasi-standard  $t$ -structures and noncommutative tori extends to the higher-dimensional case (the corresponding equivalence of derived categories is established in [4]). Namely, to every point of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  there should correspond a  $t$ -structure on  $D^b(A)$  (in a way compatible with the action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ ) whose heart is equivalent to the category of holomorphic bundles on the corresponding noncommutative torus.

**4.2. Fourier-Mukai partners.** Recall that the set of Fourier-Mukai partners (*FM-partners* for short) of a smooth projective variety  $X$  is defined as

$$\text{FM}(X) = \{Y \text{ smooth projective} \mid D^b(Y) \simeq D^b(X)\} / \text{isomorphism}.$$

For an abelian variety  $A$  we can also define the subset  $\text{FM}^{ab}(A) \subset \text{FM}(A)$  by considering only FM-partners among abelian varieties. In characteristic zero it is known that  $\text{FM}^{ab}(A) = \text{FM}(A)$  (see [16]).

Recall that if  $B$  is a FM-partner of  $A$  then any equivalence  $D^b(A) \simeq D^b(B)$  is given by the LI-kernel associated with a Lagrangian correspondence  $(L(\eta), \alpha)$  extending a symplectic isomorphism  $\eta : X_A \simeq X_B$  (see 2.1). The  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit of the Lagrangian  $(\eta_*)^{-1}(0 \times \hat{B}) \in \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  does not depend on a choice of an equivalence  $D^b(A) \simeq D^b(B)$ .

**Proposition 4.2.1.** *The above construction gives an embedding*

$$\text{FM}^{ab}(A) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q}) / \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}). \quad (4.2.1)$$

*The image consists of orbits of Lagrangian subvarieties  $L \subset X_A$  for which there exists a Lagrangian subvariety  $L' \subset X_A$  such that  $L \cap L' = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion is immediate since the Lagrangian subvariety  $(\eta_*)^{-1}(0 \times \hat{B}) \subset X_A$  corresponding to  $B$  is isomorphic to  $\hat{B}$ . For the second we observe that if we have a Lagrangian  $L' \subset X_A$  such that  $L \cap L' = 0$  then we get an isomorphism  $L \times L' \rightarrow X_A$  and also  $L' \simeq X_A / L \simeq \hat{L}$ , which leads to a symplectic isomorphism  $L \times \hat{L} \simeq X_A$ , so that  $B = \hat{L}$  is a FM-partner of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.2.** The set  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q}) / \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) / \mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  is known to be finite (see [12, Thm. 6]). Note that this set is also in bijection with the set of endosimple LI-objects in  $D^b(A)$  up to the action of exact autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  (as follows from Prop. 2.1.2).

Here is an example of a situation when the embedding of Proposition 4.2.1 is a bijection.

**Proposition 4.2.3.** *Assume that  $A$  is principally polarized and  $\text{End}(A) = R$  is the ring of integers in a totally real number field  $F$  (so the Rosati involution on  $F$  is trivial). Then the map (4.2.1) is a bijection, and*

$$|\text{FM}^{ab}(A)| = |\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})/\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})| = h_R,$$

where  $h_R$  is the class number of  $R$ .

*Proof.* First, we observe that in this case the set  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  consists of all subvarieties in  $X_A = A \times A$ , isogenous to  $A$ . We claim that all such subvarieties  $L \subset X_A$  are direct summands. Indeed,  $L$  is an image of the morphism  $A \rightarrow A^2$  associated with a pair  $(a, b) \in R^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I' \rightarrow R^2 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $I = (a, b) \subset R$ . This sequence splits since  $I$  is a projective  $R$ -module. Hence, there is a corresponding split exact sequence of abelian varieties

$$0 \rightarrow A^I \rightarrow A^2 \rightarrow A^{I'} \rightarrow 0,$$

where we use the natural functor  $M \rightarrow A^M$  from  $R$ -modules to commutative group schemes with  $A^M(S) = \text{Hom}_R(M, A(S))$  (see [11]). Since  $A^I$  is exactly the image of the map  $(a, b) : A \rightarrow A^2$ , this proves our claim.

It remains to check that the orbits of  $\text{SL}_2(R)$  on the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1(F)$  are in bijection with the ideal class group  $\text{Cl}(R)$ . We have a well defined map

$$\mathbb{P}^1(F)/\text{SL}_2(R) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(R)$$

sending  $(a : b)$  with  $a, b \in R$  to the class of the ideal  $(a, b)$ . This map is surjective since every nonzero ideal in  $R$  is generated by two elements. To show injectivity suppose that pairs  $(a : b)$  and  $(a' : b')$  define the same ideal class. Then upon rescaling we can assume that  $(a, b) = (a', b')$ . Now we have two surjective maps  $R^2 \rightarrow I = (a, b)$ : one given by  $(a, b)$  and another by  $(a', b')$ , and our assertion follows from Lemma 4.2.4 below.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.4.** *For every nonzero ideal  $I \subset R$  the action of  $\text{SL}_2(R)$  on surjective maps  $R^2 \rightarrow I$  is transitive.*

*Proof.* Since  $I$  is a projective  $R$ -module, for every surjective map  $f : R^2 \rightarrow I$  there exists an isomorphism

$$\alpha : R^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} I' \oplus I$$

such that  $f$  is the composition of  $\alpha$  with the projection to  $I$ . Note that  $\det(\alpha)$  induces an isomorphism of  $R$  with  $I' \otimes_R I$ , so we obtain an isomorphism  $I' \simeq I^{-1}$ . Thus, we can view  $\alpha$  as an isomorphism  $R^2 \rightarrow I^{-1} \oplus I$  such that  $\det(\alpha)$  is the canonical isomorphism  $R \rightarrow I^{-1} \otimes I$ . If  $g : R^2 \rightarrow I$  is another surjective morphism and  $\beta : R^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} I^{-1} \oplus I$  is the corresponding isomorphism then  $\gamma = \beta^{-1} \circ \alpha$  is an element of  $\text{SL}_2(R)$  such that  $g \circ \gamma = f$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.5.** In general the embedding (4.2.1) is not a bijection as one can see already in the case of a non-principally polarized abelian variety with  $\text{End}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$  (cf. [23, Ex. 4.16]). The Lagrangians not in the image of this map correspond to categories of twisted

sheaves equivalent to  $D^b(A)$  (see [25]). Note that the set  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  is a subset of vertices of the spherical building associated with the group  $\mathbf{U}$ , which is related to the boundary of the Baily-Borel compactification of the Siegel domain  $D_A$ . It would be interesting to see whether other elements of this building have an interpretation in terms of  $D^b(A)$ . Also, one can expect some relation between the quasi-standard  $t$ -structures and the  $t$ -structures associated with stabilities coming from points of  $D_A$  or  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ . In the case of K3-surfaces similar questions are studied in [17] and [15].

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Abramovich, A. Polishchuk, *Sheaves of  $t$ -structures and valuative criteria for stable complexes*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 590 (2006), 89–130.
- [2] A. Bayer, E. Macrì, Y. Toda, *Bridgeland Stability conditions on threefolds I: Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequalities*, arXiv:1103.5010.
- [3] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [4] J. Block, *Duality and equivalence of module categories in noncommutative geometry II: Mukai duality for holomorphic noncommutative tori*, arXiv:0604296.
- [5] A. Borel, *Density properties of certain subgroups of semisimple groups without compact components*, Ann. Math. 72 (1960), 179–188.
- [6] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [7] A. Borel, Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, Ann. Math. 75 (1962), 485–535.
- [8] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*, Ann. of Math. 166 (2007), no. 2, 317–345.
- [9] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on K3 surfaces*, Duke Math. J. 141 (2008), no. 2, 241–291.
- [10] M. R. Douglas, *Dirichlet branes, homological mirror symmetry, and stability*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. III (Beijing, 2002), 395–408, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [11] J. Giraud, *Remarque sur une formule de Shimura-Taniyama*, Invent. Math. 5 (1968), 231–236.
- [12] R. Godement, *Domaines fondamentaux des groupes arithmétiques*
- [13] V. Golyshev, V. Lunts, D. Orlov, *Mirror symmetry for abelian varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. 10 (2001), 433–496.
- [14] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [15] H. Hartmann, *Cusps of the Kähler moduli space and stability conditions on K3 surfaces*, arXiv:1012.3121.
- [16] D. Huybrechts, M. Nieper-Wisskirchen, *Remarks on derived equivalences of Ricci-flat manifolds*, arXiv:0801.4747.
- [17] S. Ma, *Fourier-Mukai Partners of a K3 Surface and the Cusps of its Kahler Moduli*, Internat. J. Math., 20 (2009), 727–750.
- [18] E. Macrì, S. Mehrorta, P. Stellari, *Inducing stability conditions*, preprint math.AG/0705.3752.
- [19] S. Mukai, *Duality between  $D(X)$  and  $D(\hat{X})$  with its application to Picard sheaves*, Nagoya Math. J. 81 (1981), 153–175.
- [20] S. Mukai, *Semi-homogeneous vector bundles on an abelian variety*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 18 (1978), 239–272.
- [21] S. Mukai, *Abelian variety and spin representation* (in Japanese), in *Hodge theory and algebraic geometry (Sapporo, 1994)*, English translation: Univ. of Warwick preprint, 1998.
- [22] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*. Oxford Univ. Press, 1974.
- [23] D. Orlov, *Derived categories of coherent sheaves on abelian varieties and equivalences between them*, Izv. Math. 66 (2002), no. 3, 569–594.
- [24] A. Polishchuk, *Biextensions, Weil representation on derived categories, and theta-functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, 1996.

- [25] A. Polishchuk, *Symplectic biextensions and a generalization of the Fourier-Mukai transform*, Math. Research Letters 3 (1996), 813–828.
- [26] A. Polishchuk, *Analogue of Weil representation for abelian schemes*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 543 (2002), 1–37.
- [27] A. Polishchuk, *Abelian Varieties, Theta Functions and the Fourier Transform*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [28] A. Polishchuk, *Classification of holomorphic vector bundles on noncommutative two-tori*, Documenta Mathematica 9 (2004), 163–181.
- [29] A. Polishchuk, *Constant families of  $t$ -structures on derived categories of coherent sheaves*, Moscow Math. J. 7 (2007), 109–134.
- [30] A. Polishchuk, *Kernel algebras and generalized Fourier-Mukai transforms*, J. Noncomm. Geom. 5 (2011), 153–251.
- [31] A. Polishchuk, *Lagrangian-invariant sheaves and functors for abelian varieties*, arXiv:1109.0527.
- [32] A. Polishchuk, A. Schwarz, *Categories of holomorphic vector bundles on noncommutative two-tori*, Comm. Math. Phys. 236 (2003), 135–159.
- [33] P. Seidel, *Graded Lagrangian submanifolds* Bull. Soc. Math. France 128 (2000), no. 1, 103–149.
- [34] A. Weil, *Sur certains groupes d’opérateurs unitaires*, Acta Math. 111 (1964), 143–211.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97405  
*E-mail address:* `apolish@uoregon.edu`